arXiv:1304.6785v2 [math.SP] 2 May 2013

On limit set of KdV flow
An extension of Remling theorem

Shinichi KOTANI
Kwansei Gakuin University

Abstract

C. Remling obtained a theorem on limit set of the shift operation on a space of functions on $\mathbb{R}$ when the associated 1-D half line Schrödinger operators have absolutely continuous component in their spectrum. The purpose of the paper is to define a KdV flow on a certain class of functions containing algebro-geometric functions and to extend this result to the KdV flow.

1 Introduction

Remling [13],[14] revealed a mystery in the Kotani's result [5] on the reflectionless property of absolutely continuous spectrum of Schrödinger operators with ergodic potentials. Remling theorem is stated as follows. For a positive number c set

$$\mathcal{V}_c \ = \{q;\ q \text{ is a real valued function on } \mathbb{R} \text{ such that } |q(x)| \leq c\}$$

endowed with weak* convergence. Then, $\mathcal{V}_c$ is compact and admits the shift operation S_t defined by $(S_t q)(\cdot) = q(\cdot + t)$. The right limit set of $\{S_t\}$ for $q \in \mathcal{V}_c$ is

$$\left\{\widetilde{q} \in \mathcal{V}_c;\ \widetilde{q} = \lim_{n\to\infty} S_{t_n} q \text{ for a } \{t_n\} \text{ tending to } \infty.\right\}$$

Remling [13],[14] established a remarkable theorem describing the structure of the right limit set. Set

$$H_q = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + q$$

a Schrödinger operator on $\mathbb{R}$ and denote by $H_q^{\pm}$ the operators restricted on $\mathbb{R}_{\pm}$ of H_q with Dirichlet boundary condition at 0. The theorem can be stated by the Weyl-Titchmarsh functions $m_{\pm}(\lambda, q)$ of H_q defined by

$$m_{\pm}(\lambda, q) = \pm\frac{f'_{\pm}(0, \lambda, q)}{f_{\pm}(0, \lambda, q)},$$

where $f_{\pm}(x, \lambda, q)$ are non-trivial solutions of $H_q f = \lambda f$ satisfying $f_{\pm} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_{\pm})$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\mathbb{R}$. Based on the fundamental results by Breimesser-Pearson [1], [2], Remling proved

For any right limit point $\widetilde{q}$, the Weyl functions satisfy

$$m_{+}(\xi + i0, \widetilde{q}) = -\overline{m_{-}(\xi + i0, \widetilde{q})} \ \text{ for a.e. } \xi \in \operatorname{acsp}\left(H_q^{+}\right), \tag{1}$$

where acsp $\left(H_q^+\right)$ denotes the absolutely continuous component of sp $\left(H_q^+\right)$. This result is particularly interesting when acsp $\left(H_q^+\right)$ consists of finite numbers of intervals and esssp $\left(H_q^+\right)$ = acsp $\left(H_q^+\right)$, for, in this case (1) makes it possible to describe the right limit set completely by θ-functions on the compact Riemannian surface associated with acsp $\left(H_q^+\right)$. One of the key facts in the proof used by Breimesser-Pearson and Remling was the existence of holomorphic $U_q^{\pm}(t,z) \in SL(2,\mathbb{C})$ satisfying the following properties.

(i) $U_q^{\pm}(t,z)$ map $\mathbb{C}_+$ into $\mathbb{C}_+$ as a fractional linear transformation for each $t \geq 0$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}_+$.
(ii) $U_q^{\pm}(t,x) \in SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ for real $x \in \mathbb{R}$.
(iii) The two identities below are valid

$$\begin{cases} m_{\pm}(\lambda, S_t q) = U_q^{\pm}(t,\lambda) \cdot m_{\pm}(\lambda, q) \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} U_q^{+}(t,\lambda) = U_q^{-}(t,\lambda) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \end{cases}.$$

It is natural to expect that an analogous result to (1) would hold for other flows if they satisfy (i),(ii),(iii) at least partially, and we show that this observation indeed works for the KdV flow.

The key notion in this study is the **reflectionless** property of potentials. A potential q is called reflectionless on $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ if

$$m_+(\xi + i0, q) = -\overline{m_-(\xi + i0, q)} \quad \text{for a.e. } \xi \in A. \tag{2}$$

For a $\lambda_0 > 0$ set

$$\widetilde{\Omega}_{\lambda_0} = \left\{q;\ q \text{ is reflectionless on } [0,\infty) \text{ and } \mathrm{sp}(H_q) \subset [-\lambda_0, \infty)\right\}.$$

Define a set of measures by

$$\widetilde{\Sigma}_{\lambda_0} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \sigma;\ \sigma \text{ is a finite measure on } [-\lambda_0, \lambda_0] \\ \text{satisfying } \displaystyle\int_{-\sqrt{\lambda_0}}^{\sqrt{\lambda_0}} \frac{\sigma(d\xi)}{\lambda_0 - \xi^2} \leq 1. \end{array} \right\} \tag{3}$$

Then, Marchenko [8] proved the following.

Theorem 1 *There is a one-to-one map between* $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\lambda_0}$ *and* $\widetilde{\Sigma}_{\lambda_0}$ *through Weyl-Titchmarsh functions, namely it is given by*

$$m_{\pm}\left(-z^2, q\right) = \pm M_\sigma(\pm z) \quad \text{with } M_\sigma(z) = -z - \int_{-\sqrt{\lambda_0}}^{\sqrt{\lambda_0}} \frac{\sigma(d\xi)}{\xi - z}. \tag{4}$$

The general theory of Sato [12], Segal-Wilson [15] says that any element of $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\lambda_0}$ is meromorphic on $\mathbb{C}$, and Lundina [7] and Marchenko [8] showed

$$\begin{cases} q\text{: holomorphic on } \left\{|\mathrm{Im}\, z| < \sqrt{\lambda_0}^{-1}\right\}, \\ \text{uniform bound: } |q(z)| \leq 2\lambda_0 \left(1 - \sqrt{\lambda_0}\, |\mathrm{Im}\, z|\right)^{-2}. \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

Moreover, $q \in \widetilde{\Omega}_{\lambda_0}$ is represented by a Fredholm determinant as

$$q(x) = -2\frac{d^2}{dx^2}\log\det(I + F^x), \quad \text{(Kotani [6])}$$

where the positive definite kernel F is defined by

$$F(x,y) = \int_{[-\sqrt{\lambda_0},\sqrt{\lambda_0}]} \frac{e^{\xi|x+y|} - e^{\xi|x-y|}}{2\xi}\sigma(d\xi),$$

and F^x is the integral operator on $L^2([0.x])$ with kernel F. Algebro-geometric solutions are contained in $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\lambda_0}$.

Denote by $\widetilde{\Sigma}_{d,\lambda_0}$ the totality of $\sigma \in \widetilde{\Sigma}_{\lambda_0}$ with finite support, and by $\widetilde{\Omega}_{d,\lambda_0}$the totality of $q \in \widetilde{\Omega}_{\lambda_0}$ whose σ is in $\widetilde{\Sigma}_{d,\lambda_0}$. For $\sigma \in \widetilde{\Sigma}_{d,\lambda_0}$ let $\{\mu_j\}$ be zeros of a rational function

$$1 - \int_{-\sqrt{\lambda_0}}^{\sqrt{\lambda_0}} \frac{\sigma(d\xi)}{z - \xi^2}.$$

Then, it is known that there exists $\{m_j\}_{1\leq j\leq n}$with $m_j > 0$, and q is given by

$$q(x) = -2\frac{d^2}{dx^2}\log\det\left(\delta_{ij} + \frac{\sqrt{m_i m_j}}{\eta_i + \eta_j}e^{-x(\eta_i+\eta_j)}\right) \quad \text{where} \quad \eta_j = \sqrt{\mu_j} > 0$$

(see Kotani [6]). Using this formula, for any function g holomorphic on $\{|z| < 1\}$ and smooth on $\{|z| \leq 1\}$ define a new potential by

$$(K(g)q)(x) = -2\frac{d^2}{dx^2}\log\det\left(\delta_{ij} + \frac{\sqrt{m_i m_j}}{\eta_i + \eta_j}e^{-x(\eta_i+\eta_j)}g(\eta_i)g(\eta_j)\right). \quad (6)$$

For $g_t(z) = e^{4tz^3}$ the resulting potential $u(t,x) = (K(g_t)q)(x)$ provides an n-soliton solution for the KdV equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = 6u\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x^3}, \qquad u(0,x) = q(x). \quad (7)$$

If g takes real values on $\mathbb{R}$, then $K(g)q \in \widetilde{\Omega}_{d,\lambda_0}$, and $\{K(g)\}$ defines a flow on $\widetilde{\Omega}_{d,\lambda_0}$. Extension of $K(g)$ on $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\lambda_0}$, which is the closure of $\widetilde{\Omega}_{d,\lambda_0}$, is highly non-trivial, because a general element of $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\lambda_0}$ is neither decaying nor periodic, and no method is known to solve the KdV equation starting from q uniquely. Sato [12] discovered an algebraic method using Grassmann manifold and his idea was realized in Date, Jimbo, Kashiwara, Miwa [3]. Segal-Wilson [15] developed his theory in the framework of Hilbert spaces, which makes it possible to define a KdV flow on $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\lambda_0}$. Segal-Wilson method was first applied by Johnson [4] to the study of reflectionless potentials, although its foundation was not complete. The next step was taken by Kotani [6], however the proof of Theorem 22 was insufficient and Theorem 26 was false. The first half of the paper is devoted to the reconstruction of the method so that the theory will be applicable to the present problem. In the second half we consider to extend Remling theorem to $\{K(g)\}$.

Let us conclude the introduction by applying our main result to the KdV equation. Suppose $q \in \widetilde{\Omega}_{\lambda_0}$. Then, one can construct a solution $u(t,x)$ such that $u(t,\cdot)\in\widetilde{\Omega}_{\lambda_0}$ for any $t\in\mathbb{R}$. Let H_q^- be the Schrödinger operator on $\mathbb{R}_-$ with potential q, and $\mathrm{acsp}\left(H_q^-\right)$ be its absolutely continuous component. Then, any limit point $\widetilde{q}$ of $\{u(t,\cdot)\}_{t\geq 0}$ satisfies

$$m_+(\xi+i0,\widetilde{q})=-\overline{m_-(\xi+i0,\widetilde{q})}\qquad\text{for a.e. }\xi\in\mathrm{acsp}\left(H_q^-\right).$$

Especially, if $\mathrm{sp}\left(H_q^-\right)=\mathrm{acsp}\left(H_q^-\right)=[-\lambda_0,\infty)$ holds for an initial function $q\in\widetilde{\Omega}_{\lambda_0}$, then the solution u to the KdV equation with initial function q satisfies

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}u(t,x)=-\lambda_0.$$

Moreover, if $\mathrm{sp}\left(H_q^-\right)=\mathrm{acsp}\left(H_q^-\right)=I\cup[0,\infty)$ with an interval I in $(-\infty,0]$ holds, then any right limit function $\widetilde{q}$ of $\{u(t,\cdot)\}_{t\geq 0}$ is described by the Weierstrass elliptic function $\wp(x)$, namely the conoidal wave.

Although the initial function space $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\lambda_0}$ contains many non-decaying functions, it would be preferable to construct the KdV flow on another space different from $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\lambda_0}$. McKean [9] considered the KdV flow on $L^1((1+|x|)\,dx)$, where the scattering problems can be treated. It might be possible to obtain a similar result also on this space, however it would be difficult to consider the problem on a certain unified space.

Finally we remark that under a very general condition of reflectionless property of potentials on their spectrum Sodin-Yuditskii [16] showed the almost periodicity of the potentials.

2 Grassmann manifold

Segal-Wilson [15] introduced the following infinite dimensional Grassmann manifold. Let $H=L^2(|z|=1)$ and $H_\pm$ be closed subspaces of H defined by

$$H_+=\left\{f;\ f(z)=\sum_{n\geq 0}f_nz^n\right\},\quad H_-=\left\{f;\ f(z)=\sum_{n\geq 1}f_nz^{-n}\right\}.$$

Set

$$\mathbb{D}=\{z\in\mathbb{C};\ |z|<1\}$$

and let $H^p(\mathbb{D})$ be the Hardy space on $\mathbb{D}$. Then, $H_+=H^2(\mathbb{D})$. Denote the orthogonal projections to $H_\pm$ by $P_\pm$. A closed subspace W of H is an element of Gr^2 if and only if it satisfies

(i) If $f\in W$, then $z^2f\in W$.
(ii) $P_+:W\to H_+$ is bijective.
(iii) $P_-:W\to H_-$ is of Hilbert-Schmidt class.

The first condition is due to our being interested in 1-D Schrödinger operators. The second condition implies

$$W\cap H_-=\{\mathbf{0}\}.$$

The third condition is rather technical and can be replaced other condition. In extreme case we can do without (iii). The weaker condition on the operator

$P_- : W \to H_-$ we impose, the stronger condition on the action Γ on Gr^2 we have to assume. $W = H_+$ is the simplest element of Gr^2 and other elements are a kind of perturbation of H_+. In this section we define two functions in H_- which characterize an element of Gr^2.

Decompose $f \in W$ as

$$f = f_+ + f_-, \quad f_\pm \in H_\pm.$$

Then, (ii) implies f_+ determines f_-, hence there exists a linear map A from H_+ to H_-, namely

$$f = f_+ + Af_+.$$

(iii) implies that A is of Hilbert-Schmidt type. If it is necessary, we use the notation A_W. $Gr^2 \ni W$ has a dual element $\widetilde{W} \in Gr^2$ defined by

$$\widetilde{W} = QW^\perp = \left\{ f \in H; \quad f = Qu, \text{ for } u \in W^\perp \right\},$$

where, for $f \in H$

$$(Qf)(z) = z^{-1} f\left(z^{-1}\right).$$

Then, without difficulty we see

$$A_{\widetilde{W}} = -Q^{-1} A_W^* Q. \tag{8}$$

Set

$$e_n(z) = z^n, \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{Z},$$

and

$$\Phi = Ae_0, \quad \Psi = Ae_1 \in H_-.$$

For a function $f \in H$ denote the even part and the odd part by

$$\begin{cases} f_e(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f_{2n} z^n = \dfrac{1}{2}\left(f\left(\sqrt{z}\right) + f\left(-\sqrt{z}\right)\right), \\ f_o(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f_{2n+1} z^n = \dfrac{1}{2\sqrt{z}}\left(f\left(\sqrt{z}\right) - f\left(-\sqrt{z}\right)\right), \end{cases}$$

and define

$$\Pi(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \Phi_e(z) & \Psi_e(z) \\ \Phi_o(z) & 1 + \Psi_o(z) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Delta(z) = \det \Pi(z). \tag{9}$$

The values Ae_n for $n \geq 2$ are obtained from $\{\Phi, \Psi\}$ as follows. From the property of W it follows that for $f \in H_+$

$$W \ni z^2 (f + Af) = z^2 f + z^2 Af = \left(z^2 f + P_+ z^2 Af\right) + P_- z^2 Af$$

is valid, hence we have an identity

$$Az^2 = P_- z^2 A - AP_+ z^2 A \quad \text{on } H_+. \tag{10}$$

Therefore, setting

$$\Phi(z) = \frac{a_1}{z} + \frac{a_2}{z^2} + \cdots, \qquad \Psi(z) = \frac{b_1}{z} + \frac{b_2}{z^2} + \cdots,$$

and noting, for $f \in H_-$

$$P_- z^2 f = z^2 f - f_1 z - f_2, \quad P_+ z^2 f = f_1 z + f_2, \tag{11}$$

we have from (10)

$$\begin{aligned} Ae_2 &= Az^2 e_0 \\ &= P_- z^2 Ae_0 - AP_+ z^2 Ae_0 \\ &= z^2 \Phi - a_1 z - a_2 - a_2 \Phi - a_1 \Psi \\ &= \left(z^2 - (A_W e_0, e_{-2})\right)(1+\Phi) - (A_W e_0, e_{-1})(z + \Psi) - e_2. \end{aligned}$$

Now, for $n \geq 0$ let p_n, q_n be polynomials of degree n defined inductively by $p_0(z) = 1$, $q_0(z) = 0$, and

$$p_{n+1}(z) = zp_n(z) - (Ae_{2n}, e_{-2}), \quad q_{n+1}(z) = zq_n(z) - (Ae_{2n}, e_{-1}).$$

Assuming an identity

$$Ae_{2n} = p_n(z^2)(1+\Phi) + q_n(z^2)(z+\Psi) - e_{2n}, \tag{12}$$

we see

$$\begin{aligned} &Ae_{2(n+1)} \\ &= Az^2 e_{2n} = P_- z^2 Ae_{2n} - AP_+ z^2 Ae_{2n}. \\ &= z^2 Ae_{2n} - (Ae_{2n}, e_{-1})z - (Ae_{2n}, e_{-2}) - A\left((Ae_{2n}, e_{-1})z + (Ae_{2n}, e_{-2})\right). \end{aligned}$$

Due to (11) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &Ae_{2(n+1)} \\ &= \left(z^2 p_n(z^2) - (Ae_{2n}, e_{-2})\right)(1+\Phi) + \left(z^2 q_n(z^2) - (Ae_{2n}, e_{-1})\right)(z+\Psi) - e_{2(n+1)} \\ &= p_{n+1}(z^2)(1+\Phi) + q_{n+1}(z^2)(z+\Psi) - e_{2(n+1)}, \end{aligned}$$

which proves (12) for any $n \geq 0$. Here, denote $\varphi = \Phi_{\widetilde{W}} = A_{\widetilde{W}} 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (e_{-2n-1}, \psi) &= -\left(e_{-2n-1}, Q^{-1} A_W^* Qz\right) = -(e_{2n}, A_W^* e_{-2}) = -(A_W e_{2n}, e_{-2}) \\ (e_{-2n-1}, \varphi) &= -\left(e_{-2n-1}, Q^{-1} A_W^* Q1\right) = -(e_{2n}, A_W^* e_{-1}) = -(A_W e_{2n}, e_{-1}), \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$(e_{-2n-1}, \psi) = -(Ae_{2n}, e_{-2}), \quad (e_{-2n-1}, \varphi) = -(Ae_{2n}, e_{-1}).$$

Therefore, (12) implies

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} p_n(z) &= z^n \left(1 + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} z^{-(k+1)} (e_{-2k-1}, \psi)\right) = z^n \left(1 + \overline{\psi}_{o,n}(z)\right) \\ q_n(z) &= z^n \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} z^{-(k+1)} (e_{-2k-1}, \psi) = z^n \overline{\varphi}_{o,n}(z), \end{aligned} \right. \tag{13}$$

where

$$\overline{f}(z) = \overline{f(\overline{z})},$$

and

$$f_n\left(z\right)=\sum_{k\tilde{\ }1}^{n}f_kz^{-k}\ \text{ for }f(z)=\sum_{k\tilde{\ }1}^{\infty}f_kz^{-k}\in H_-.$$

Similarly, setting

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l}s_n(z)=z^n\left(1+\overline{\varphi}_{e,n}\left(z\right)\right)\\ r_n(z)=z^n\overline{\psi}_{e,n}\left(z\right),\end{array}\right.\tag{14}$$

we see

$$Ae_{2n+1}=r_n(z^2)\left(1+\Phi\right)+s_n(z^2)\left(z+\Psi\right)-e_{2n+1}.\tag{15}$$

Summing up the argument yields

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(\begin{array}{c}z^{-2n}Ae_{2n}\\ z^{-2n}Ae_{2n+1}\end{array}\right)\\ &=\left(\begin{array}{cc}1+\overline{\psi}_{o,n}(z^2) & \overline{\varphi}_{o,n}(z^2)\\ \overline{\psi}_{e,n}(z^2) & 1+\overline{\varphi}_{e,n}(z^2)\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}1+\Phi\left(z\right)\\ z+\Psi\left(z\right)\end{array}\right)-\left(\begin{array}{c}1\\ z\end{array}\right),\end{aligned}\tag{16}$$

which is equivalent to

$$F_n\left(z\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}1+\overline{\psi}_{o,n}(z) & \overline{\varphi}_{o,n}(z)\\ \overline{\psi}_{e,n}(z) & 1+\overline{\varphi}_{e,n}(z)\end{array}\right)\Pi\left(z\right)-\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & 0\\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right),$$

where $F_n\left(z\right)$ is a 2×2 matrix whose entries are elements of H_-. Since $F_n\left(z\right)\to0$ as $n\to\infty$ for $z\in\mathbb{C}$ such that $|z|>1$, we see

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc}1+\overline{\psi}_{o}(z) & \overline{\varphi}_{o}(z)\\ \overline{\psi}_{e}(z) & 1+\overline{\varphi}_{e}(z)\end{array}\right)\Pi\left(z\right)=I.$$

Consequently we have the statement below.

Proposition 1 $\Pi_W\left(z\right)$ *is invertible on* $|z|>1$ *and a.e.* $|z|=1$*, and an identity*

$$\Pi_W\left(z\right)^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}1+\overline{\psi}_{o}(z) & \overline{\varphi}_{o}(z)\\ \overline{\psi}_{e}(z) & 1+\overline{\varphi}_{e}(z)\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & 1\\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)\overline{\Pi}_{\widetilde{W}}\left(z\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & 1\\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)^{-1}\tag{17}$$

holds. Moreover, for two $W_1,W_2\in Gr^2$ *we have*

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\|A_{W_1}-A_{W_2}\right\|^2\\ &=\left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^2\int_0^{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}\left\|\frac{\Pi_{W_1}\left(e^{i\theta}\right)^{-1}\Pi_{W_1}\left(e^{i\varphi}\right)-\Pi_{W_2}\left(e^{i\theta}\right)^{-1}\Pi_{W_2}\left(e^{i\varphi}\right)}{e^{i\theta}-e^{i\varphi}}\right\|^2d\theta d\varphi\end{aligned}\tag{18}$$

Proof. We have only to show (18), which follows from the identity

$$\left\|A_{W_1}-A_{W_2}\right\|_{H.S.}^2=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left\|\left(A_{W_1}-A_{W_2}\right)e_n\right\|^2,$$

and (16). ■

It is natural to define a metric on Gr^2 by

$$d\left(W_1,W_2\right)=\left\|A_{W_1}-A_{W_2}\right\|_{H.S.}.\tag{19}$$

(18) describes this distance by the generating functions.

For elements $\{\Phi,\Psi\}$ of H_- define $\Pi\left(z\right)$ by (9).

Proposition 2 $\{\Phi, \Psi\}$ *are the generating functions for a* $W \in Gr^2$ *if and only if* $\Pi(z)$ *is invertible for all* $|z|>1$ *and a.e.* $|z|=1$, *and satisfies*

$$\int_0^{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}\left\|\frac{\Pi\left(e^{i\theta}\right)^{-1}\Pi\left(e^{i\varphi}\right)-I}{e^{i\theta}-e^{i\varphi}}\right\|^2 d\theta d\varphi<\infty. \tag{20}$$

Proof. Define the value Ae_n by (12),(15) with $\{p_n, q_n, r_n, s_n\}$ defined by the Taylor expansion of $\Pi^{-1}(z)$ at $z=\infty$. A is of Hilbert-Schmidt type if and only if

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\|Ae_n\|^2<\infty,$$

which is shown to be equal to

$$\left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^2\int_0^{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}\left\|\frac{\Pi\left(e^{i\theta}\right)^{-1}\Pi\left(e^{i\varphi}\right)-I}{e^{i\theta}-e^{i\varphi}}\right\|^2 d\theta d\varphi$$

similarly as (18). ■

From (16) it follows that

$$W\ni z^n+Az^n=\gamma_n\left(z^2\right)(1+\Phi(z))+\delta_n\left(z^2\right)(z+\Psi(z))$$

holds with some polynomials γ_n, δ_n for any $n\geq 0$. We try to find a representation of this form for a general $\varphi\in W$. Consider an equation

$$\gamma\left(z^2\right)(1+\Phi(z))+\delta\left(z^2\right)(z+\Psi(z))=\varphi(z),$$

which is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases}(1+\Phi_e(z))\,\gamma(z)+\Psi_e(z)\,\delta(z)=\varphi_e(z),\\ \Phi_o(z)\,\gamma(z)+(1+\Psi_o(z))\,\delta(z)=\varphi_o(z).\end{cases}$$

Then, due to Proposition 1 $\Pi(z)$ is non-singular for a.e. $|z|=1$, hence

$$\begin{pmatrix}\gamma(z)\\ \delta(z)\end{pmatrix}=\Pi(z)^{-1}\begin{pmatrix}\varphi_e(z)\\ \varphi_o(z)\end{pmatrix} \tag{21}$$

is valid. For $f\in H_+$ define

$$\begin{cases}K_Wf(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}z^n\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}f_{2n+2+k}\left(e_k, A^*e_{-2}\right)\\ L_Wf(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}z^n\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}f_{2n+2+k}\left(e_k, A^*e_{-1}\right)\end{cases}. \tag{22}$$

Then

$$\|K_Wf\|_2^2\leq\frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}k\left|f_k\right|^2\right)\left\|A^*e_{-2}\right\|^2,\quad \|L_Wf\|_2^2\leq\frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}k\left|f_k\right|^2\right)\left\|A^*e_{-1}\right\|^2. \tag{23}$$

Lemma 1 *Define* γ, δ *by (21) and let* $\varphi = f + Af$ *with* $f \in H_+$. *Then, it holds that for* $z \in \mathbb{D}$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \gamma(z) \\ \delta(z) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} f_e(z) - K_W f(z) \\ f_o(z) - L_W f(z) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{24}$$

and $\gamma, \delta \in H^1(\mathbb{D})$. *Moreover, if* f *has the* m*-th derivative of* $L^2(|z| = 1)$, *then* γ, δ *satisfy*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{2m-1} \left(|\gamma_k|^2 + |\delta_k|^2 \right) < \infty. \tag{25}$$

Proof. Formally we have

$$\begin{aligned} f + Af &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n e_n + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n A e_n \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_{2n} \left(p_n(z^2)(1 + \Phi(z)) + q_n\left(z^2\right)(z + \Psi(z)) \right) \\ &\qquad + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_{2n+1} \left(r_n(z^2)(1 + \Phi(z)) + s_n\left(z^2\right)(z + \Psi(z)) \right), \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\begin{pmatrix} \gamma(z) \\ \delta(z) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (f_{2n} p_n(z) + f_{2n+1} r_n(z)) \\ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (f_{2n} q_n(z) + f_{2n+1} s_n(z)) \end{pmatrix}.$$

(13),(14) imply the relation (24). For $f \in H_+$, let

$$f_N(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{N} f_k z^k.$$

Then, γ_N, δ_N associated with f_N are polynomials of degree at most $N/2$, hence $\gamma_N, \delta_N \in H^1$. Since all the entries of $\Pi(z)^{-1}$ are elements of $L^2(|z| = 1)$, we have

$$\|\gamma - \gamma_N\|_1 + \|\delta - \delta_N\|_1 \le \left\|\Pi^{-1}\right\|_2 \|f - f_N\|_2,$$

which means L^1-convergence of γ_N, δ_N to γ, δ respectively as $N \to \infty$. Therefore, $\gamma, \delta \in H^1(\mathbb{D})$ follows from the closedness of $H^1(\mathbb{D})$ in $L^1(|z| = 1)$. (25) can be shown by (22). ■

3 Group acting on Gr^2 and τ-function

3.1 Fundamental properties

Define $\mathit{\Gamma}$ by

$$\mathit{\Gamma} = \left\{ g \in H;\ g'\left(e^{i\theta}\right) \in L^2,\ g\left(e^{i\theta}\right) \neq 0 \right\}.$$

Then $\mathit{\Gamma}$ becomes a commutative group acting on H by multiplication. For $W \in Gr^2$, $g^{-1}W$ is a closed subspace of H satisfying the property (i). In order to know if the second property is satisfied or not we first assume $g^{-1}W \in Gr^2$ and the existence of A-operator A_g for $g^{-1}W$. Then, for $f \in H_+$

$$g^{-1}(f + Af) = g^{-1}f + g^{-1}Af = P_+ g^{-1} f + P_+ g^{-1} A f + P_- g^{-1} f + P_- g^{-1} A f,$$

hence it should be valid that

$$A_g\varphi_+ = \varphi_-,$$

with

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} P_+g^{-1}f + P_+g^{-1}Af = \varphi_+ \\ P_-g^{-1}f + P_-g^{-1}Af = \varphi_- \end{array} \right. . \tag{26}$$

Therefore, the condition for $g^{-1}W \in Gr^2$ can be stated by solvability of (26) for given $\varphi_+ \in H_+$, and we need

Lemma 2 *$g^{-1}W \in Gr^2$ holds if and only if the operator*

$$P_+g^{-1} + P_+g^{-1}A : \; H_+ \to H_+ \tag{27}$$

is bijective.

Proof. The surjectivity is clear. To show the injectivity we assume

$$P_+g^{-1}f + P_+g^{-1}Af = 0 \tag{28}$$

for an $f \in H_+$, and set

$$\varphi = g^{-1}f + g^{-1}Af \in g^{-1}W.$$

Then, (28) implies $\varphi \in H_-$, hence $\varphi \in H_- \cap g^{-1}W$. If $g^{-1}W \in Gr^2$, then $H_- \cap g^{-1}W = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ should hold, hence $\varphi = 0$, from which $f = 0$ follows. Conversely, the identity

$$\{\varphi_+ + A_g\varphi_+; \; \varphi_+ \in H_+\} = g^{-1}W$$

is valid from the bijectivity of (27). A_g is of Hilbert-Schmidt type because so is A. ■

In order to investigate the operator (27) we need the notion of Fredholm operator. A bounded operator S on a Hilbert space H is called a Fredholm operator if there exists a bounded operator T on H such that $I - ST$, $I - TS$ are compact operators on H. The operator P_+g on H_+ is known to be a Fredholm operator. To see this we express the projection P_+ by Cauchy integral

$$P_+f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{|\zeta|=1} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta,$$

for $f \in H$ and $|z| < 1$, which yields

$$g^{-1}P_+gf(z) - P_+f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{|\zeta|=1} \frac{g(\zeta) - g(z)}{\zeta - z}\frac{f(\zeta)}{g(z)} d\zeta.$$

Hence, letting $z \to e^{i\theta}$ and changing $\zeta = e^{i\varphi}$ lead us to

$$g^{-1}P_+gf\left(e^{i\theta}\right) - P_+f\left(e^{i\theta}\right) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{i\varphi}\left(g\left(e^{i\varphi}\right) - g\left(e^{i\theta}\right)\right)}{\left(e^{i\varphi} - e^{i\theta}\right)g\left(e^{i\theta}\right)} f\left(e^{i\varphi}\right) d\varphi. \tag{29}$$

Introduce a distance on $\mathit{\Gamma}$ by

$$d(g_1, g_2) = \left\| g_1^{-1}P_+g_1 - g_2^{-1}P_+g_2 \right\|_{H.S.}. \tag{30}$$

Denote the set of all Hilbert-Schmidt class operators on H by $\mathcal{L}_2(H)$. Then, we have

Lemma 3 *$g^{-1}P_+g - P_+ \in \mathcal{L}_2(H)$ for any $g \in \mathit{\Gamma}$ and the map*

$$\mathit{\Gamma} \ni g \to g^{-1}P_+g - P_+ \in \mathcal{L}_2(H)$$

is continuous.

Set

$$K = g^{-1}P_+g - P_+, \quad L = gP_+g^{-1} - P_+.$$

Then K, L are compact operators on H, and

$$\begin{cases} \left(P_+g^{-1}P_+\right)\left(P_+gP_+\right) = P_+g^{-1}P_+gP_+ = P_+\left(K + P_+\right)P_+ = P_+KP_+ + P_+ \\ \left(P_+gP_+\right)\left(P_+g^{-1}P_+\right) = P_+gP_+g^{-1}P_+ = P_+\left(L + P_+\right)P_+ = P_+LP_+ + P_+ \end{cases}$$

is valid. Since P_+ is the identity on H_+, we see that P_+gP_+ is a Fredholm operator on H_+. The operator P_+g on H_+ is called a Toeplitz operator, and is denoted by $T(g)$. In order to compute the index of Toeplitz operators we define the rotation number of $g \in \mathit{\Gamma}$ by

$$n = n(g) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{|z|=1} \frac{g'(z)}{g(z)}dz \in \mathbb{Z},$$

which is possible, since $g(z)$ is continuous and never vanishes on $|z| = 1$. $\mathit{\Gamma}$ has two subgroups $\mathit{\Gamma}_\pm$ of $\mathit{\Gamma}$ by

$$\mathit{\Gamma}_\pm = \left\{g \in \mathit{\Gamma};\ g(z) = e^{h(z)} \text{ with } h, h' \in H_\pm\right\}.$$

Lemma 4 *$g \in \mathit{\Gamma}$ can be decomposed into three parts by using the rotation number as follows.*

$$g(z) = z^n g_+(z)\, g_-(z), \qquad g_\pm \in \mathit{\Gamma}_\pm. \tag{31}$$

Moreover, The Toeplitz operator $T(g) = P_+g$ on H_+ has

$$\dim\ker T(g) = \begin{cases} 0 & \textit{if} \quad n \geq 0 \\ -n & \textit{if} \quad n \leq -1 \end{cases}, \quad \operatorname{codim}\operatorname{Im} T(g) = \begin{cases} n & \textit{if} \quad n \geq 0 \\ 0 & \textit{if} \quad n \leq -1 \end{cases}.$$

Therefore, $T(g)$ is invertible if and only if $n = 0$.

Proof. The rotation number of the closed curve generated by $z^{-n}g(z)$ is

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{|z|=1} \frac{\left(z^{-n}g\right)'(z)}{z^{-n}g(z)}dz = -n + \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{|z|=1} \frac{g'(z)}{g(z)}dz = 0,$$

which makes it possible to define

$$h(z) = \log\left\{z^{-n}g(z)\right\}$$

as a continuous function on $|z| = 1$. Hence h admits a decomposition $h = h_+ + h_-$ with $h_\pm \in H_\pm$ respectively.

Assume

$$P_+gf = 0$$

with an $f \in H_+$. If $n \geq 0$, then

$$H_- \ni gf = z^n g_+ g_- f \Longrightarrow z^n g_+ f \in g_-^{-1} H_- = H_-$$

holds. Since $z^n g_+ f$ belongs to H_+, it should hold that

$$z^n g_+ f = 0 \Longrightarrow f = 0.$$

If $n \leq -1$, then

$$g_+ f \in z^{-n} g_-^{-1} H_- = z^{-n} H_-$$

is valid, hence

$$g_+ f = z^{-n} \left(\frac{a_1}{z} + \frac{a_2}{z^2} + \cdots \right) = a_1 z^{-n-1} + a_2 z^{-n-2} + \cdots .$$

In this case $g_+ f \in H_+$ shows

$$g_+ f = a_1 z^{-n-1} + a_2 z^{-n-2} + a_{-n-1} z + a_{-n},$$

which establishes the statement on $\dim \ker P_+ g$. The statement on $\operatorname{codim} \operatorname{Im} P_+ g$ can be derived from the observation

$$\{ \operatorname{Im} T(g) \}^{\perp} = \ker T(\overline{g}).$$

■

Lemma 4 is well known for continuous and non-degenerate g. This Lemma yields the index of the Toeplitz operator $P_+ g$ as

$$\operatorname{codim} \ \operatorname{Im} T(g) - \dim \ker T(g) = n(g). \tag{32}$$

Now we have a necessary condition for $g^{-1} W \in Gr^2$ to be satisfied.

Lemma 5 *Suppose $g^{-1} W \in Gr^2$ for $g \in \Gamma$. Then, the rotation number should vanish, namely $n(g) = 0$.*

Proof. First note that $P_+ g^{-1} A$ is compact, since

$$P_+ g^{-1} A = g^{-1} \left(g P_+ g^{-1} - P_+ \right) A.$$

Therefore, the operator $T\left(g^{-1}\right) + P_+ g^{-1} A$ is also of Fredholm with the same index as $T\left(g^{-1}\right)$. If $g^{-1} W \in Gr^2$ holds, then Lemma 2 implies that the index of $T\left(g^{-1}\right) + P_+ g^{-1} A$ is 0, hence so is that of $T\left(g^{-1}\right)$, which shows $n(g) = 0$ due to (32). ■

3.2 τ-function

The τ-function is a Fredholm determinant describing the action of Γ on Gr^2. To define the determinant we rewrite the equation (26) as an equation on H_+, namely

$$P_+ g P_+ g^{-1} f + P_+ g P_+ g^{-1} A f = P_+ g \varphi_+.$$

We define an operator of trace class on H_+ by

$$R(g) = R_W(g) = \left(T(g)\,T\left(g^{-1}\right) - I_+\right) + T(g)\,P_+ g^{-1} A$$

and an auxiliary trace class operator

$$R^-(g) = \left(T(g)\,T\left(g^{-1}\right) - I_+\right) + P_+ g^{-1} A T(g)\,.$$

Recall $T(g) = P_+ g$.

Lemma 6 *For $g \in \Gamma$ it holds that* $\det(I_+ + R(g)) = \det(I_+ + R^-(g))$.

Proof. Let P_n be the orthogonal projection to the subspace generated by $\{e_0, e_1, e_2, \cdots, e_{n-1}\}$ and

$$\begin{cases} R_n(g) = \left(P_n g P_n g^{-1} P_n - P_n\right) + P_n g P_n g^{-1} A P_n \\ R_n^-(g) = \left(P_n g^{-1} P_n g P_n - P_n\right) + P_n g^{-1} A P_n g P_n. \end{cases}$$

Then, $R_n(g), R_n^-(g)$ are $n \times n$ matrices and

$$\begin{aligned} \det(I_+ + R_n(g)) &= \det(P_n + R_n(g)) \\ &= \det P_n g P_n \left(P_n g^{-1} P_n + P_n g^{-1} A P_n\right) \\ &= \det\left(P_n g^{-1} P_n + P_n g^{-1} A P_n\right) P_n g P_n \\ &= \det\left(I_+ + R_n^-(g)\right) \end{aligned}$$

holds. Since $R_n(g), R_n^-(g)$ converge to $R(g), R^-(g)$ respectively in the trace norm as $n \to \infty$, we have the expected identity. ■

In the equation (26) assuming the existence of $(I_+ + R(g))^{-1}$, we have

$$f = (I_+ + R(g))^{-1} P_+ g \varphi_+.$$

Therefore

$$A_g \varphi_+ = P_- g^{-1} f + P_- g^{-1} A f = \left(P_- g^{-1} + P_- g^{-1} A\right)(I_+ + R(g))^{-1} P_+ g \varphi_+,$$

which leads us to define

$$A_g = \left(P_- g^{-1} + P_- g^{-1} A\right)(I_+ + R_W(g))^{-1} T(g)\,. \tag{33}$$

Set

$$\tau_W(g) = \det(I_+ + R_W(g))\,,$$

and call it as τ-**function**.

Lemma 7 *For $g \in \Gamma$ the properties $\tau_W(g) \neq 0$ and $g^{-1}W \in Gr^2$ are equivalent. In this case, the A-operator $A_{g^{-1}W}$ corresponding to $g^{-1}W$ is given by (33).*

Proof. Assume $\tau_W(g) \neq 0$. Since $R(g)$ is a compact operator, this implies $\operatorname{Im}(I_+ + R(g)) = H_+$. Therefore, the identity

$$I_+ + R(g) = T(g)\left(P_+ g^{-1} + P_+ g^{-1} A\right)$$

shows $\operatorname{Im} T(g) = H_+$, namely $n \leq 0$ due to Lemma 4. On the other hand, we have also $\det(I_+ + R^-(g)) \neq 0$ by Lemma 6, hence $\ker(I_+ + R^-(g)) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$, which implies $\ker T(g) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$, namely $n \geq 0$. Consequently, the rotation number n should vanish under the condition $\tau_W(g) \neq 0$. Since, in this case $T(g)$ is invertible on H_+, the bijectivity of $I_+ + R(g)$ implies that of $T\left(g^{-1}\right) + P_+ g^{-1} A$, which shows $g^{-1}W \in Gr^2$ and $A_{g^{-1}W} = A_g$ owing to Lemma 2. Conversely, if we assume $g^{-1}W \in Gr^2$, then due to Lemma 5 $n = 0$ follows, and the bijectivity of $I_+ + R(g)$ can be derived from that of $T\left(g^{-1}\right) + P_+ g^{-1} Af$ and the invertibility of $T(g)$, which completes the proof. ■

The **τ-function** was introduced by Sato to describe solutions of completely integrable systems. The τ-function satisfies the following cocycle property. Here note

$$T(g_1 g) = T(g_1) T(g) \quad \text{for } g_1 \in \mathit{\Gamma}_-,\ g \in \mathit{\Gamma}. \tag{34}$$

Proposition 3 *The τ-function satisfies the followings.*
(i) $\tau_W(g)$ *is continuous on* $Gr^2 \times \mathit{\Gamma}$.
(ii) The property $\tau_W(g) \neq 0$ *is equivalent to* $g^{-1}W \in Gr^2$.
(iii)

$$\tau_W(g) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if} \quad n \neq 0 \\ \tau_W(g_+) \det T(g) T\left(g^{-1}\right) & \text{if} \quad n = 0 \text{ and } g = g_+ g_- \text{ with } g_\pm \in \mathit{\Gamma}_\pm \\ 1 & \text{if} \quad g \in \mathit{\Gamma}_- \end{cases}$$

In particular, if $n = 0$, $\tau_W(g) \neq 0$ *holds if and only if* $\tau_W(g_+) \neq 0$.
(iv) For $g_1, g_2 \in \mathit{\Gamma}_+$ *if* $g_1^{-1}W \in Gr^2$, *then*

$$\tau_W(g_1 g_2) = \tau_W(g_1)\, \tau_{g_1^{-1}W}(g_2) \quad (\textbf{\textit{cocycle property}}).$$

(v) For $g_1 \in \Gamma_-$, $g_2 \in \Gamma_+$

$$\tau_{g_1^{-1}W}(g_2) = \tau_W(g_1 g_2).$$

Proof. (i) is obtained from Lemma 3. (ii) is nothing but Lemma 7. As for (iii), in the case $n = 0$ Lemma 5 and Lemma 7 show $\tau_W(g) = 0$. If $g = g_+ g_-$ with $g_\pm \in \mathit{\Gamma}_\pm$, then, noting that, for $g \in \mathit{\Gamma}_-$, g^{-1} maps H_- onto H_-, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_+ + R_W(g) &= T(g) T\left(g^{-1}\right) + T(g) P_+ (g_+ g_-)^{-1} A \\ &= T(g) T\left(g^{-1}\right) + T(g) P_+ g_-^{-1} P_+ g_+^{-1} A \\ &= T(g) T\left(g^{-1}\right) (I_+ + R_W(g_+)), \end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$\tau_W(g) = \tau_W(g_+) \det T(g) T\left(g^{-1}\right).$$

Therefore, (iii) holds.

To show (iv) note that for $g \in \mathit{\Gamma}_+$

$$R_W(g) = P_+ g P_+ g^{-1} - I_+ + P_+ g P_+ g^{-1} A = g P_+ g^{-1} A$$

holds. Suppose $g_1^{-1}W \in Gr^2$ and set $A_{g_1} = A_{g_1^{-1}W}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (g_1 g_2)^{-1} A &= g_2^{-1} P_+ g_1^{-1} A + g_2^{-1} P_- g_1^{-1} A \\ &= g_2^{-1} g_1^{-1} R_W(g_1) + g_2^{-1} A_{g_1} g_1^{-1} (I + R_W(g_1)), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$P_+ \left(g_1 g_2\right)^{-1} A = g_2^{-1} g_1^{-1} R_W \left(g_1\right) + P_+ g_2^{-1} A_{g_1} g_1^{-1} \left(I + R_W \left(g_1\right)\right)$$

hold, hence

$$\begin{aligned} I_+ + R_W \left(g_1 g_2\right) &= I_+ + g_1 g_2 P_+ \left(g_1 g_2\right)^{-1} A \\ &= I_+ + R_W \left(g_1\right) + g_1 R_{g_1^{-1} W} \left(g_2\right) g_1^{-1} \left(I_+ + R_W \left(g_1\right)\right) \\ &= g_1 \left(I_+ + R_{g_1^{-1} W} \left(g_2\right)\right) g_1^{-1} \left(I_+ + R_W \left(g_1\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, if $g_1^{-1} W \in Gr^2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_W \left(g_1 g_2\right) &= \det \left(I_+ + R_W \left(g_1 g_2\right)\right) \\ &= \det \left(I_+ + R_{g_1^{-1} W} \left(g_2\right)\right) \det \left(I_+ + R_W \left(g_1\right)\right) \\ &= \tau_W \left(g_1\right) \tau_{g_1^{-1} W} \left(g_2\right) \end{aligned}$$

is valid.

(v) is shown as follows. For $g_1 \in \mathit{\Gamma}_-$

$$g_1^{-1} W \ni g_1^{-1} f + g_1^{-1} A f = P_+ \left(g_1^{-1} f\right) + P_- \left(g_1^{-1} f\right) + g_1^{-1} A_W f$$

designates decomposition into $H_+ \oplus H_-$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} A_{g_1^{-1} W} \left(P_+ \left(g_1^{-1} f\right)\right) &= P_- \left(g_1^{-1} f\right) + g_1^{-1} A_W f \\ \Rightarrow A_{g_1^{-1} W} T \left(g_1^{-1}\right) &= P_- g_1^{-1} P_+ + g_1^{-1} A_W \end{aligned}$$

holds. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} R_{g_1^{-1} W} \left(g_2\right) T \left(g_1^{-1}\right) &= g_2 P_+ g_2^{-1} A_{g_1^{-1} W} T \left(g_1^{-1}\right) \\ &= g_2 P_+ g_2^{-1} P_- g_1^{-1} P_+ + g_2 P_+ g_2^{-1} g_1^{-1} A_W \\ &= T \left(g_1^{-1}\right) R_W \left(g_1 g_2\right) + g_2 P_+ g_2^{-1} P_- g_1^{-1} P_+ \\ &\quad + P_+ g_1^{-1} P_+ - T \left(g_1^{-1}\right) T \left(g_1 g_2\right) T \left(g_1^{-1} g_2^{-1}\right). \end{aligned}$$

$g_2 P_+ g_2^{-1} P_+ = P_+$ is valid due to $g_2 \in \mathit{\Gamma}_+$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} & g_2 P_+ g_2^{-1} P_- g_1^{-1} P_+ + P_+ g_1^{-1} P_+ \\ &= g_2 P_+ g_2^{-1} g_1^{-1} P_+ - g_2 P_+ g_2^{-1} P_+ g_1^{-1} P_+ + P_+ g_1^{-1} P_+ \\ &= g_2 P_+ g_2^{-1} g_1^{-1} P_+ = T \left(g_2\right) T \left(g_2^{-1} g_1^{-1}\right), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$R_{g_1^{-1} W} \left(g_2\right) T \left(g_1^{-1}\right) = T \left(g_1^{-1}\right) R_W \left(g_1 g_2\right) + \left(T \left(g_2\right) - T \left(g_1^{-1}\right) T \left(g_1 g_2\right)\right) T \left(g_1^{-1} g_2^{-1}\right)$$

holds. Clearly for $g_1 \in \mathit{\Gamma}_-$, $g_2 \in \mathit{\Gamma}_+$

$$T \left(g_1 g_2\right) = T \left(g_1\right) T \left(g_2\right), \quad T \left(g_1\right) T \left(g_1^{-1}\right) = T \left(g_1^{-1}\right) T \left(g_1\right) = I_+$$

are valid. Consequently we have

$$R_{g_1^{-1} W} \left(g_2\right) T \left(g_1^{-1}\right) = T \left(g_1^{-1}\right) R_W \left(g_1 g_2\right),$$

which shows

$$\tau_{g_1^{-1}W}(g_2) = \det\Big(I_+ + T(g_1)\, R_{g_1^{-1}W}(g_2)\, T\left(g_1^{-1}\right)\Big) = \tau_W(g_1 g_2)\,.$$

■

The τ-function for a special g was computed in [3], [15].

Lemma 8 *For* $|\zeta| > 1$ *set*

$$q_\zeta(z) = 1 - \frac{z}{\zeta} \in \Gamma_+,\quad p_\zeta(z) = \left(1 + \frac{z}{\zeta}\right)^{-1}.$$

Then, it holds that

$$\tau_W(q_\zeta) = 1 + \Phi(\zeta)\,,\quad \tau_W(p_\zeta) = \Delta\left(\zeta^2\right)^{-1}\tau_W(q_\zeta)\,.$$

Proof. For $f \in H_-$ and $1 \le |z| < |\zeta|$, we have decomposition of $q_\zeta^{-1}f$ into $H_- \oplus H_+$

$$q_\zeta^{-1}f(z) = \left(1 - \frac{z}{\zeta}\right)^{-1} f(z) = \left(1 - \frac{z}{\zeta}\right)^{-1}\left(f(z) - f(\zeta)\right) + \left(1 - \frac{z}{\zeta}\right)^{-1} f(\zeta)\,,$$

which implies

$$\left(q_\zeta P_+ q_\zeta^{-1} f\right)(z) = \left(1 - \frac{z}{\zeta}\right)\left(1 - \frac{z}{\zeta}\right)^{-1} f(\zeta) = f(\zeta),$$

hence, if $W \in Gr^2$, then for $f \in H_+$

$$\left(q_\zeta P_+ q_\zeta^{-1} A_W f\right)(z) = A_W f(\zeta)$$

holds, which implies that $q_\zeta P_+ q_\zeta^{-1} A_W$ is a linear operator of rank 1. Thus

$$\tau_W(q_\zeta) = \det\left(I + q_\zeta P_+ q_\zeta^{-1} A_W\right) = 1 + (A_W 1)(\zeta) = 1 + \Phi(\zeta)$$

follows. $\tau_W(p_\zeta)$ is computable similarly. ■

Remark 1 *Analogous calculation shows*

$$\tau_W(q_{\zeta_1} q_{\zeta_2}) = \frac{(\zeta_1 + \Psi_W(\zeta_1))(1 + \Phi_W(\zeta_2)) - (\zeta_2 + \Psi_W(\zeta_2))(1 + \Phi_W(\zeta_1))}{\zeta_1 - \zeta_2}$$
$$\tau_W(p_{\zeta_1} p_{\zeta_2}) = \Delta\left(\zeta_1^2\right)^{-1}\Delta\left(\zeta_2^2\right)^{-1}\tau_W(q_{\zeta_1} q_{\zeta_2})\,.$$

3.3 Γ-action on generating functions

In this section we investigate the change of generating functions under the group action Γ. Namely we try to find a 2×2 matrix $U_W(z, g)$ satisfying an identity

$$\begin{aligned} & g(z)\begin{pmatrix} 1 + \Phi_{g^{-1}W}(z) \\ z + \Psi_{g^{-1}W}(z) + a_1\left(g^{-1}W\right)\left(1 + \Phi_{g^{-1}W}(z)\right) \end{pmatrix} \\ & = U_W\left(z^2, g\right)\begin{pmatrix} 1 + \Phi_W(z) \\ z + \Psi_W(z) + a_1(W)\left(1 + \Phi_W(z)\right) \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

which is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} & {}^{t}\Pi_{g^{-1}W}(z) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ a_1\left(g^{-1}W\right) & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} g_e(z) & g_o(z) \\ zg_o(z) & g_e(z) \end{pmatrix} \\ & = U_W(z,g) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ a_1(W) & 1 \end{pmatrix} {}^{t}\Pi_W(z). \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

So far $U_W(z,g)$ is defined only on $|z|=1$ whose entries are elements of $L^1(|z|=1)$.

Lemma 9 *For* $g \in \mathit{\Gamma}_-$ *we have*

$$U_W(z,g) = I. \tag{37}$$

Proof. Suppose

$$g(z) = 1 + \frac{g_1}{z} + \frac{g_2}{z^2} + \cdots .$$

From Proposition 3 $\tau_W(g) = 1 \neq 0$ follows, hence $g^{-1}W \in Gr^2$. Note

$$g(z)\left(1 + \Phi_{g^{-1}W}(z)\right) \in W$$

holds, and the H_+-component of $g(z)\left(1 + \Phi_{g^{-1}W}(z)\right)$ is 1. Therefore

$$g(z)\left(1 + \Phi_{g^{-1}W}(z)\right) = 1 + \Phi_W(z)$$

holds, hence

$$a_1\left(g^{-1}W\right) = a_1(W) - g_1.$$

Similarly we have

$$g(z)\left(z + \Psi_{g^{-1}W}(z)\right) = g_1\left(1 + \Phi_W(z)\right) + \left(z + \Psi_W(z)\right),$$

which yields (37). ■

To have more detailed properties of $U_W(z,g)$ we need

Lemma 10 *Suppose* $u \in H$ *satisfies*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{2m} |u_n|^2 < \infty.$$

Then for any $f \in H_-$, $v = P_+(uf)$ *satisfies*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{2m-1} |v_n|^2 \leq C_m \|f\|^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^2 |u_n|^2 < \infty,$$

for some constant C_m. *Moreover, if* u *is holomorphic on* $|z| < R$ *for some* $R > 1$, *then* v *is also holomorphic on* $|z| < R$.

Proof. Note

$$\begin{aligned} u(z) f(z) &= \sum_{n \geq k, k \geq 1} u_n f_k z^{n-k} + \sum_{n < k, k \geq 1} u_n f_k z^{n-k} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u_{n+k} f_k + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^{-n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u_{k-n} f_k. \end{aligned}$$

This gives the decomposition of uf into H_+ and H_-, and we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{2m-1}\left|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u_{n+k}f_k\right|^2 \leq C_m \left\|f\right\|^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{2m}\left|u_n\right|^2 .$$

If u is holomorphic on $|z| < R$, then

$$|u_n| \leq Cr^{-n}$$

with $r < R$. Therefore

$$\left|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u_{n+k}f_k\right| \leq \left\|f\right\| \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left|u_{n+k}\right|^2} \leq \frac{Cr^{-1}}{\sqrt{1-r^{-2}}} \left\|f\right\| r^{-n}$$

holds, and we see that v is holomorphic on $|z| < R$, since r can be taken arbitrarily close to R. ■

Generally this $U_W(z,g)$ has analytic continuation inside $\mathbb{D}$, namely

Theorem 2 *Assume $g^{-1}W \in Gr^2$ for $g \in \varGamma$. Then there exists uniquely 2×2 matrix $U_W(z,g)$ satisfying (35) whose entries are elements of $H^2(\mathbb{D})$. Generally, if g has m-th derivative in $L^2(|z|=1)$, then the entries of $U_W(z,g)$ have $m-1$-th derivatives in $L^2(|z|=1)$. More specifically, if g is holomorphic on $|z| < R$ for some $R > 1$, then $U_W(z,g)$ is also holomorphic on $|z| < R$. Moreover $U_W(z,g)$ has a cocycle property for any g_1, $g_2 \in \varGamma$*

$$U_W(z, g_1 g_2) = U_{g_1^{-1}W}(z, g_2)\, U_W(z, g_1) \text{ on } |z| = 1. \tag{38}$$

Consequently we have

$$U_W(z,g) = U_W(z, g_+) \quad \text{with } g = g_+ g_-,\ g_\pm \in \varGamma_\pm.$$

For $g \in \varGamma_+$ the determinant is given by

$$\det U_W(z,g) = g\left(\sqrt{z}\right) g\left(-\sqrt{z}\right) = e^{2h_e(z)}. \tag{39}$$

Proof. From the definition it holds that $g(z)\left(1 + \Phi_{g^{-1}W}(z)\right) \in W$, hence Lemma 1 implies the existence of $a, b \in H^1(\mathbb{D})$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & g(z)\left(1 + \Phi_{g^{-1}W}(z)\right) \\ & = a\left(z^2\right)\left(1 + \Phi_W(z)\right) + b\left(z^2\right)\left\{\left(z + \Psi_W(z)\right) + a_1(W)\left(1 + \Phi_W(z)\right)\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $g'\left(e^{i\theta}\right) \in L^2(|z|=1)$, Lemma 10 shows that the H_+-component of $f \equiv g\left(1 + \Phi_{g^{-1}W}\right)$ satisfies

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} n\left|f_n\right|^2 < \infty,$$

hence Lemma 1 yields $a, b \in H^2(\mathbb{D})$. Similarly we have functions $\{c, d\}$ of $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & g(z)\left\{\left(z + \Psi_{g^{-1}W}(z)\right) + a_1\left(g^{-1}W\right)\left(1 + \Phi_{g^{-1}W}(z)\right)\right\} \\ & = c\left(z^2\right)\left(1 + \Phi_W(z)\right) + d\left(z^2\right)\left\{\left(z + \Psi_W(z)\right) + a_1(W)\left(1 + \Phi_W(z)\right)\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, to obtain U_W we have only to set

$$U_W\left(z,g\right)=\begin{pmatrix} a(z) & b(z) \\ c(z) & d(z) \end{pmatrix}$$

on $|z|\leq 1$. The statement for g with m-th derivative is also valid by the same argument. If g is holomorphic on $|z|<R$, then

$$|f_n|\leq Cr^{-n},$$

holds with $r<R$, where

$$f=g\left(1+\Phi_{g^{-1}W}\right) \quad \text{or} \quad g\left\{\left(z+\Psi_{g^{-1}W}\right)+a_1\left(g^{-1}W\right)\left(1+\Phi_{g^{-1}W}\right)\right\}.$$

Therefore, a,b,c,d should be holomorphic on $|z|<R$, since r can be taken arbitrarily close to R.

To show (38) notice identities

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(U_{g_1^{-1}W}(z^2,g_2)U_W(z^2,g_1)-U_W(z^2,g_1g_2)\right)\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ a_1\left(W\right) & 1 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} 1+\Phi_W\left(z\right) \\ z+\Psi_W\left(z\right) \end{pmatrix} \\
&=g_1(z)U_{g_1^{-1}W}(z^2,g_2)\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ a_1\left(g_1^{-1}W\right) & 1 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} 1+\Phi_{g_1^{-1}W}\left(z\right) \\ z+\Psi_{g_1^{-1}W}\left(z\right) \end{pmatrix} \\
&-g_1(z)g_2(z)\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ a_1\left(g_1^{-1}g_2^{-1}W\right) & 1 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} 1+\Phi_{g_1^{-1}g_2^{-1}W}\left(z\right) \\ z+\Psi_{g_1^{-1}g_2^{-1}W}\left(z\right) \end{pmatrix} \\
&=0.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, replacing z by $-z$ yields

$$\left(U_{g_1^{-1}W}(z^2,g_2)U_W(z^2,g_1)-U_W(z^2,g_1g_2)\right)\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ a_1\left(W\right) & 1 \end{pmatrix}\Pi_W\left(z\right)=0.$$

Since $\Pi_W\left(z\right)$ is non-singular for a.e. $|z|=1$, we have

$$U_{g_1^{-1}W}(z,g_2)U_W(z,g_1)=U_W(z,g_1g_2) \quad \text{for a.e. } |z|=1,$$

which implies (38). To see the determinant of $U_W\left(z,g\right)$ for $g\in\mathit{\Gamma}_+$, we use (36) and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\det U_W\left(z,g\right)&=\det\Pi_{g^{-1}W}\left(z\right)\det\begin{pmatrix} g_e(z) & g_o(z) \\ zg_o(z) & g_e(z) \end{pmatrix}\det\Pi_W\left(z\right)^{-1} \\
&=\Delta_{g^{-1}W}\left(z\right)\Delta_W\left(z\right)^{-1}g\left(\sqrt{z}\right)g\left(-\sqrt{z}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

on $|z|=1$, which is equivalent to

$$g\left(\sqrt{z}\right)^{-1}g\left(-\sqrt{z}\right)^{-1}\det U_W\left(z,g\right)=\Delta_{g^{-1}W}\left(z\right)\Delta_W\left(z\right)^{-1} \quad \text{on } |z|=1. \tag{40}$$

The left side is an element of $H^2\left(\mathbb{D}\right)$, and $\Theta\left(z\right)\equiv\Delta_{g^{-1}W}\left(z^{-1}\right)\Delta_W\left(z^{-1}\right)^{-1}$ belongs to $H^{1/2}\left(\mathbb{D}\right)$ due to Proposition 1, and the theory of Hardy space implies

$$\Theta\left(z\right)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}c_nz^n \quad \text{on } |z|=1$$

in the sense of distributions. On the other hand, the identity (40) implies

$$g\left(\sqrt{z}\right)^{-1} g\left(-\sqrt{z}\right)^{-1} \det U_W\left(z, g\right) = \overline{\Theta\left(z\right)} \text{ on } |z| = 1,$$

from which $c_n = 0$ for any $n \geq 1$ follows. This leads us to the identity (39). ■

Corollary 1 *For any $g \in \mathit{\Gamma}$ with $g^{-1}W \in Gr^2$, $\Delta_{g^{-1}W}\left(z\right) = \Delta_W\left(z\right)$ is valid.*

Proof. The corollary follows immediately from Theorem 2. ■

3.4 Baker-Akhiezer function and Weyl-Titchmarsh function

Let q be a smooth function defined in a real neighborhood U of the origin. For a positive real number R, a function $f(x, z)$ of two variables $x \in U$ and $z \in \{z \in \mathbb{C}; |z| > R\}$ is called a **Baker-Akhiezer function** for the potential q if f satisfies as a function of x

$$-f''(x, z) + q(x)f(x, z) = -z^2 f(x, z), \quad \left({}' = \frac{d}{dx}\right), \tag{41}$$

and as a function of z the Taylor expansion

$$e^{xz} f(x, z) = 1 + \frac{a_1(x)}{z} + \frac{a_2(x)}{z^2} + \cdots \tag{42}$$

converges on $R < |z| \leq \infty$.

Lemma 11 *Let $f(x, z)$ be a Baker-Akhiezer function for q. Then, for every $k \geq 1$, a_k satisfies identities*

$$2a_1'(x) = -q(x),\ 2a_{k+1}'(x) - a_k''(x) = -q(x)a_k(x). \tag{43}$$

Moreover, under the condition $f(0, z) = 1$, q determines uniquely $f(x, z)$.

Proof. Taking derivative of (42) yields

$$e^{xz}\left(-f''(x, z) + z^2 f(x, z)\right) = 2a_1'(x) + \frac{2a_2'(x) - a_1''(x)}{z} + \frac{2a_3'(x) - a_2''(x)}{z^2} + \cdots.$$

This combined with (41) implies, for $k \geq 1$

$$2a_1'(x) = -q(x),\ 2a_{k+1}'(x) - a_k''(x) = -q(x)a_k(x).$$

If $f(0, z) = 1$ holds, then, for every $k \geq 1$, we have $a_k(0) = 0$. Then, from (43) it is known that $\{a_k(x)\}_{k\geq 1}$ can be determined from q. ■

Set $e_x\left(z\right) = e^{-xz}$. As a function of x, $\tau_W\left(e_x\right)$ is an entire function and satisfies $\tau_W\left(1\right) = 1$. Therefore, if x is sufficiently close to 0, we have $\tau_W\left(e_x\right) \neq 0$, which yields $e_x^{-1}W \in Gr^2$ by (i) of Proposition 3. Now let $f_W\left(x, \cdot\right) \in W$ be a unique element of W such that $P_+\left(e_x^{-1}f\right) = 1$. Then, from the definition we have

$$f_W\left(x, z\right) = e^{-xz}\left(1 + \frac{a_1(x)}{z} + \frac{a_2(x)}{z^2} + \cdots\right),$$

and taking derivatives with respect to x yields

$$f'_W(x,z) = -ze^{-xz}\left(1+\frac{a_1(x)}{z}+\frac{a_2(x)}{z^2}+\cdots\right)+e^{-xz}\left(\frac{a'_1(x)}{z}+\frac{a'_2(x)}{z^2}+\cdots\right), \tag{44}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f''_W(x,z) = {}& z^2e^{-xz}\left(1+\frac{a_1(x)}{z}+\frac{a_2(x)}{z^2}+\cdots\right) \\ & -2e^{-xz}\left(a'_1(x)+\frac{a'_2(x)}{z}+\cdots\right)+e^{-xz}\left(\frac{a''_1(x)}{z}+\frac{a''_2(x)}{z^2}+\cdots\right), \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} W \ni {}& -f''_W(x,z) - 2a'_1(x)f_W(x,z) + z^2 f_W(x,z) \\ = {}& e^{-xz}\left(\begin{array}{c} \dfrac{-2a'_1(x)a_1(x)+2a'_2(x)-a''_1(x)}{z}+ \\ \dfrac{-2a'_1(x)a_2(x)+2a'_3(x)-a''_2(x)}{z^2}+\cdots \end{array}\right), \end{aligned}$$

namely

$$\frac{-2a'_1(x)a_1(x)+2a'_2(x)-a''_1(x)}{z}+\frac{-2a'_1(x)a_2(x)+2a'_3(x)-a''_2(x)}{z^2}+\cdots \in e_x^{-1}W$$

holds. From $H_- \cap e_x^{-1}W = \{\mathbf{0}\}$, for every $k \geq 1$

$$2a'_1(x)a_k(x)+a''_k(x) = 2a'_{k+1}(x), \quad -f''_W(x,z)-2a'_1(x)f_W(x,z)+z^2f_W(x,z)=0$$

follows. Therefore, setting

$$q_W(x) = -2a'_1(x)$$

we know that $f_W(x,z)$ is a Baker-Akhiezer function for q_W. Moreover, if we replace W in Lemma 8 by $e_x^{-1}W$, we see

$$e^{x\zeta}f_W(x,\zeta) = 1+\left(A_{e_x^{-1}W}1\right)(\zeta) = \tau_{e_x^{-1}W}(q_\zeta).$$

On the other hand

$$a_1(x) = \lim_{\zeta\to\infty}\zeta\left(e^{x\zeta}f_W(x,\zeta)-1\right) = \lim_{\zeta\to\infty}\zeta\left(\tau_{e_x^{-1}W}(q_\zeta)-1\right)$$

is valid, and from (iv) of Proposition 3

$$\tau_{e_x^{-1}W}(q_\zeta)-1 = \frac{\tau_W(e_xq_\zeta)-\tau_W(e_x)}{\tau_W(e_x)}$$

follows. Hence, approximating $q_\zeta(z) \cong e^{-z/\zeta}$ for large ζ, it holds that

$$\lim_{\zeta\to\infty}\zeta\left(\tau_W(e_xq_\zeta)-\tau_W(e_x)\right) = \lim_{\zeta\to\infty}\zeta\left(\tau_W\left(e_{x+\frac{1}{\zeta}}\right)-\tau_W(e_x)\right) = \frac{d}{dx}\tau_W(e_x).$$

For $W \in Gr^2$ define

$$q_W(x) = -2\frac{d^2}{dx^2}\log\tau_W(e_x).$$

Since the correspondence $Gr^2 \ni W \to q_W$ is not one-to-one, the next problem is to find a quantity from which q_W is determined uniquely. Let $f_W(x,z)$ be the Baker-Akhiezer function for $W \in Gr^2$ and set

$$M_W(z) = \frac{f_W'(0,z)}{f_W(0,z)}.$$

f_W satisfies the identity (44), hence, for a $k \in H_-$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_W'(0,z) &= -z\left(1 + \frac{a_1(0)}{z} + \frac{a_2(0)}{z^2} + \cdots\right) + \left(\frac{a_1'(0)}{z} + \frac{a_2'(0)}{z^2} + \cdots\right) \\ &= -z - a_1(0) + k(z). \end{aligned}$$

Since $f_W'(0,\cdot) \in W$, setting $a_1(W) = a_1(0)$, we see

$$f_W'(0,z) = -\left(z + \Psi_W(z)\right) - a_1(W)\left(1 + \Phi_W(z)\right). \tag{45}$$

The identity $f_W(0,z) = 1 + \Phi_W(z)$ shows

$$M_W(z) = -\frac{z + \Psi_W(z)}{1 + \Phi_W(z)} - a_1(W). \tag{46}$$

We have

Lemma 12 *For $W_1, W_2 \in Gr^2$ assume $M_{W_1}(z) = M_{W_2}(z)$. Set*

$$r(z) = \frac{1 + \Phi_{W_1}(z)}{1 + \Phi_{W_2}(z)}.$$

Then, we have
(i) $r(z^{-1}) \in H^1(\mathbb{D})$
(ii) For any holomorphic function u on $|z| < R$ with $R > 1$, it holds that $r(u + A_{W_2}u) \in W_1$.

Proof. Under the condition of the lemma we have

$$\begin{aligned} z + \Psi_{W_1}(z) &= -\left(M_{W_1}(z) + a_1(W_1)\right)\left(1 + \Phi_{W_1}(z)\right) \\ &= -r(z)\left(M_{W_2}(z) + a_1(W_2) + a_1(W_1) - a_1(W_2)\right)\left(1 + \Phi_{W_2}(z)\right) \\ &= r(z)\left(z + \Psi_{W_2}(z)\right) - c\left(1 + \Phi_{W_1}(z)\right), \end{aligned}$$

where $c = a_1(W_1) - a_1(W_2)$. Therefore, we have

$$r(z)\begin{pmatrix} 1 + \Phi_{W_2}(z) \\ z + \Psi_{W_2}(z) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ c & 1 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} 1 + \Phi_{W_1}(z) \\ z + \Psi_{W_1}(z) \end{pmatrix},$$

which is equivalent to

$${}^t\Pi_{W_2}(z)\begin{pmatrix} r_e(z) & r_o(z) \\ zr_o(z) & r_e(z) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ c & 1 \end{pmatrix} {}^t\Pi_{W_1}(z).$$

Since Proposition 1 implies every entry of ${}^t\Pi_{W_2}(z)^{-1} - I, {}^t\Pi_{W_1}(z) - I$ belongs to H_-, we have (i). As for (ii) Lemma 1 shows that for $f = u \in H_+$ holomorphic on $|z| < R$

$$u(z) + A_{W_2}u(z) = \gamma\left(z^2\right)\left(1 + \Phi_{W_2}(z)\right) + \delta\left(z^2\right)\left(z + \Psi_{W_2}(z)\right)$$

holds with γ, δ holomorphic on $|z| < R$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} & r(z)\left(u(z)+A_{W_2} u(z)\right) \\ & =r(z)\gamma\left(z^2\right)\left(1+\Phi_{W_2}(z)\right)+r(z)\delta\left(z^2\right)\left(z+\Psi_{W_2}(z)\right) \\ & =\gamma\left(z^2\right)\left(1+\Phi_{W_1}(z)\right)+\delta\left(z^2\right)\left(z+\Psi_{W_1}(z)\right)+c\delta\left(z^2\right)\left(1+\Phi_{W_1}(z)\right) \in W_1 \end{aligned}$$

is valid. ■

Proposition 4 *For $W_1, W_2 \in Gr^2$ the following two conditions are equivalent.*
(i) $\quad q_{W_1} = q_{W_2}$.
(ii) $\quad M_{W_1}(z) = M_{W_2}(z)$.
Moreover, assume (ii) and $\tau_{W_1}(g) \neq 0$, $\tau_{W_2}(g) \neq 0$. Then

$$U_{W_1}(z, g) = U_{W_2}(z, g) \tag{47}$$

holds for any $g \in \Gamma$.

Proof. Suppose $M_{W_1} = M_{W_2}$. Then Lemma 11 implies that the Baker-Akhiezer functions $f_1(x,z), f_2(x,z)$ of W_1, W_2 satisfy

$$f_1(x,z)/f_1(0,z) = f_2(x,z)/f_2(0,z). \tag{48}$$

Therefore

$$f_1'(0,z)/f_1(0,z) = f_2'(0,z)/f_2(0,z) \tag{49}$$

holds and (ii) follows. Conversely assume (ii). Generally, for $W \in Gr^2$ setting

$$\widetilde{f}_W(x,z) = \frac{f_W(x,z)}{f_W(0,z)},$$

$\widetilde{f}_W$ satisfies the condition in Lemma 11 by setting $q = q_W$, the coefficients $\{\widetilde{a}_k(x)\}_{k \geq 1}$ arising from the expansion

$$\widetilde{f}_W(x,z) = e^{-xz}\left(1 + \frac{\widetilde{a}_1(x)}{z} + \frac{\widetilde{a}_2(x)}{z^2} + \cdots\right)$$

fulfill identities

$$2\widetilde{a}_{k+1}'(x) - \widetilde{a}_k''(x) = 2\widetilde{a}_1'(x)\widetilde{a}_k(x) \tag{50}$$

for every $k \geq 1$. Since $\widetilde{f}_W'(0,z) = f_W'(0,z)/f_W(0,z) = M_W(z)$ is valid, noting $\widetilde{f}_W(0,z) = 1$, we see that $\{\widetilde{a}_k'(0)\}_{k \geq 1}$ can be determined from $M_W(z)$ uniquely. Noting here $\widetilde{a}_k(0) = 0$ for every $k \geq 1$, we see from (50) that $\{\widetilde{a}_k''(0)\}_{k \geq 1}$ can be determined from $M_W(z)$ uniquely as well. In the identity (50), taking derivatives, we can know all $\left\{\widetilde{a}_k^{(n)}(0)\right\}_{n \geq 0,\, k \geq 1}$. Since $\{\widetilde{a}_k(x)\}_{k \geq 1}$ are holomorphic in a neighborhood of 0, we see that $\{\widetilde{a}_k(x)\}_{k \geq 1}$ can be determined from $M_W(z)$, which implies that $q_W(x)\,(= -2\widetilde{a}_1'(x))$ is determined from $M_W(z)$. Therefore, (i) follows from (ii).

To show the identity (47) assume (ii). First we consider the case that $\log g$ is a polynomial for $g \in \Gamma_+$. Since $\tau_{W_1}(g) \neq 0$, $\tau_{W_2}(g) \neq 0$ hold , Lemma 12 shows

$$rg\left(1 + \Phi_{g^{-1}W_2}\right), \quad rg\left(z + \Psi_{g^{-1}W_2}\right) \in W_1,$$

hence

$$r\left(1+\Phi_{g^{-1}W_2}\right), \quad r\left(z+\Psi_{g^{-1}W_2}\right) \in g^{-1}W_1.$$

For $R>1$ set

$$f = r\left(1+\Phi_{g^{-1}W_2}\right), \quad f_R\left(z\right) = f\left(Rz\right) = r\left(Rz\right)\left(1+\Phi_{g^{-1}W_2}\left(Rz\right)\right).$$

Then, (i) of Lemma 12 implies that the Fourier coefficients of $f_R\left(e^{i\theta}\right)$ satisfies

$$\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} f_R\left(e^{i\theta}\right)e^{-in\theta}d\theta = \left\{\begin{array}{ll} 0 & \text{for} \quad n\geq 1 \\ 1 & \text{for} \quad n=0 \end{array}\right. . \tag{51}$$

Since $f_R \underset{R\downarrow 1}{\to} f$ in $L^2\left(|z|=1\right)$, (51) holds also for f, which yields

$$r\left(1+\Phi_{g^{-1}W_2}\right) = 1+\Phi_{g^{-1}W_1}, \text{ hence } a_1\left(g^{-1}W_2\right)+r_1 = a_1\left(g^{-1}W_1\right),$$

where

$$r\left(z\right) = 1+\frac{r_1}{z}+\frac{r_2}{z^2}+\cdots.$$

Similarly we have

$$r\left(z+\Psi_{g^{-1}W_2}\right) = z+\Psi_{g^{-1}W_1}+r_1\left(1+\Phi_{g^{-1}W_1}\right),$$

which shows

$$\begin{aligned} & r\left\{\left(z+\Psi_{g^{-1}W_2}\right)+a_1\left(g^{-1}W_2\right)\left(1+\Phi_{g^{-1}W_2}\right)\right\} \\ & = z+\Psi_{g^{-1}W_1}+a_1\left(g^{-1}W_1\right)\left(1+\Phi_{g^{-1}W_1}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} & U_{W_2}\left(z^2,g\right)\left(\begin{array}{c} 1+\Phi_{W_1}\left(z\right) \\ z+\Psi_{W_1}\left(z\right)+a_1\left(W_1\right)\left(1+\Phi_{W_1}\left(z\right)\right) \end{array}\right) \\ & = r(z)U_{W_2}\left(z^2,g\right)\left(\begin{array}{c} 1+\Phi_{W_2}\left(z\right) \\ z+\Psi_{W_2}\left(z\right)+a_1\left(W_2\right)\left(1+\Phi_{W_{12}}\left(z\right)\right) \end{array}\right) \\ & = r(z)g\left(z\right)\left(\begin{array}{c} 1+\Phi_{g^{-1}W_2}\left(z\right) \\ z+\Psi_{g^{-1}W_2}\left(z\right)+a_1\left(g^{-1}W_2\right)\left(1+\Phi_{g^{-1}W_2}\right) \end{array}\right) \\ & = g\left(z\right)\left(\begin{array}{c} 1+\Phi_{g^{-1}W_1}\left(z\right) \\ z+\Psi_{g^{-1}W_1}\left(z\right)+a_1\left(g^{-1}W_1\right)\left(1+\Phi_{g^{-1}W_1}\right) \end{array}\right) \\ & = U_{W_1}\left(z^2,g\right)\left(\begin{array}{c} 1+\Phi_{W_1}\left(z\right) \\ z+\Psi_{W_1}\left(z\right)+a_1\left(W_1\right)\left(1+\Phi_{W_1}\right) \end{array}\right) \end{aligned}$$

holds, which implies

$$U_{W_2}\left(z^2,g\right) = U_{W_1}\left(z^2,g\right).$$

Therefore, (47) holds for $g\in\mathit{\Gamma}_+$ such that $\log g$ is a polynomial. For general $g\in\mathit{\Gamma}_+$ we have only to use the Taylor expansion of $\log g$ about $z=0$ and the continuity of $U_W\left(z,g\right)$ with respect to g. For $g\in\mathit{\Gamma}$ we have only to apply Theorem 2. ■

Corollary 2 *If* $M_{W_1}\left(z\right)=M_{W_2}\left(z\right)$ *and* $\tau_{W_1}\left(g\right)\neq 0$, $\tau_{W_2}\left(g\right)\neq 0$ *hold, then we have*

$$M_{g^{-1}W_1}\left(z\right) = M_{g^{-1}W_2}\left(z\right).$$

Proof. Set

$$\widehat{U}_W(z,g) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} U_W(z,g) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, due to (ii) of Proposition 4

$$\begin{aligned} -M_{g^{-1}W_2}(z) &= \widehat{U}_{W_2}\left(z^2,g\right)\cdot\left(-M_{W_2}(z)\right) \\ &= \widehat{U}_{W_2}\left(z^2,g\right)\cdot\left(-M_{W_1}(z)\right) \\ &= \widehat{U}_{W_1}\left(z^2,g\right)\cdot\left(-M_{W_1}(z)\right) \\ &= -M_{g^{-1}W_1}(z) \end{aligned}$$

holds, which completes the proof. ■

We call $M_W(z)$ as **Weyl-Titchmarsh function** of W or q_W.

4 KdV flow

In this section we construct KdV flow on a certain class of potentials Ω. For $W \in Gr^2$ set

$$q(x) = q_W(x) = -2\frac{d^2}{dx^2}\log\tau_W\left(e^{-x\cdot}\right).$$

Since $\tau_W\left(e^{-x\cdot}\right)$ is an entire function taking value 1 at $x=0$, q_W is meromorphic on $\mathbb{C}$. To define a new potential $K(g)q$ for $g \in \Gamma$ by

$$(K(g)q)(x) = -2\frac{d^2}{dx^2}\log\tau_W\left(ge^{-x\cdot}\right), \tag{52}$$

the well-definedness is required, namely for $W_1, W_2 \in Gr^2$ and $g \in \Gamma$ satisfying $\tau_{W_1}(g) \neq 0$, $\tau_{W_2}(g) \neq 0$

$$q_{W_1} = q_{W_2} \Longrightarrow -2\frac{d^2}{dx^2}\log\tau_{W_1}\left(ge^{-x\cdot}\right) = -2\frac{d^2}{dx^2}\log\tau_{W_2}\left(ge^{-x\cdot}\right) \tag{53}$$

must hold. This is valid, because, $q_{W_1} = q_{W_2}$ implies $q_{g^{-1}W_1} = q_{g^{-1}W_2}$ due to Proposition 4 and Corollary 2, which leads us to (53) since $\tau_W\left(ge^{-x\cdot}\right) = \tau_{g^{-1}W}\left(e^{-x\cdot}\right)\tau_W(g)$.

Let Ξ be a subset of Gr^2 and a subgroup Γ_0 of Γ satisfying $g^{-1}\Xi = \Xi$ for every $g \in \Gamma_0$. Set

$$\Omega_\Xi = \left\{q;\ \ q(x) = -2\frac{d^2}{dx^2}\log\tau_W\left(e^{-x\cdot}\right),\ \ \exists W \in \Xi\right\}.$$

Then, from (ii) of Lemma 1 it follows that, for $g_1, g_2 \in \Gamma_0$

$$\begin{aligned} (K(g_1g_2)q)(x) &= -2\frac{d^2}{dx^2}\log\tau_W\left(g_1g_2e^{-x\cdot}\right) \\ &= -2\frac{d^2}{dx^2}\log\tau_{g_2^{-1}W}\left(g_1e^{-x\cdot}\right) = (K(g_1)K(g_2)q)(x) \end{aligned}$$

is valid, and hence the flow property $K(g_1g_2) = K(g_1)K(g_2)$ holds. This $\{K(g)\}_{g\in\Gamma_0}$ is called **KdV flow** on Ω_Ξ.

4.1 Positivity of τ-function

Clearly, $\tau_W\left(e^{-x\cdot}\right)$ is entire for $W \in Gr^2$, and $q_W(x)$ is meromorphic on $\mathbb{C}$ with poles only on $\{x \in \mathbb{C};\ \tau_W\left(e^{-x\cdot}\right) = 0\}$. Among potentials arising from $W \in Gr^2$ a class of potentials q taking real values and having no singularities on $\mathbb{R}$ is of interest.

For $W \in Gr^2$ define $\overline{W} \in Gr^2$ by

$$\overline{W} = \left\{ f \in L^2\left(|z|=1\right);\ \overline{f} \in W \right\},\ \text{where } \overline{f}(z) = \overline{f(\overline{z})}.$$

$W \in Gr^2$ is called **real** if $W = \overline{W}$, which is equivalent to the fact that A_W commutes with the conjugation $-$. Therefore, if W is real, then the generating functions $\{\Phi_W, \Psi_W\}$ and the τ-function $\tau_W(g)$ take real values on $\mathbb{R}$ for real $g \in \mathit{\Gamma}$. Here we call a function f real if f takes real values on $\mathbb{R}$, and the set of all real elements of $\mathit{\Gamma}_+$ is denoted by $\mathit{\Gamma}_+^r$. $W \in Gr^2$ is called **positive**, if W is real and $\tau_W(g) \geq 0$ for any $g \in \mathit{\Gamma}_+^r$, and the set of all positive W is denoted by Gr_+^2.

Lemma 13 *Assume $W \in Gr_+^2$. Then $\tau_W(g) > 0$ holds for any $g \in \mathit{\Gamma}_+$ such that $g(z) = \prod_{k=1}^{n} q_{\zeta_k} q_{\overline{\zeta}_k}$ or $g(z) = \prod_{k=1}^{n} p_{\zeta_k} p_{\overline{\zeta}_k}$ with $\zeta_k \in \{|z|>1\}$ and* $\operatorname{Im}\zeta_k \neq 0$.

Proof. We start from the case $n=1$. Since $\Delta(z) \neq 0$ for any $z \in \{|z|>1\}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\left(z^2\right) &= \left(1+\Phi_e\left(z^2\right)\right)\left(1+\Psi_o\left(z^2\right)\right) - \Phi_o\left(z^2\right)\Psi_e\left(z^2\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2z}\left\{(1+\Phi(-z))(z+\Psi(z)) - (1+\Phi(z))(-z+\Psi(-z))\right\},\end{aligned}$$

is valid, $1+\Phi(z)$ and $z+\Psi(z)$ do not vanish simultaneously. Suppose

$$\tau_W\left(q_{\zeta_1} q_{\overline{\zeta}_1}\right) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 1 + \Phi(\zeta_1) \neq 0.$$

Due to Remark 1

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_W\left(q_\zeta q_{\overline{\zeta}}\right) &= \frac{(\zeta+\Psi(\zeta))\left(1+\Phi\left(\overline{\zeta}\right)\right) - \left(\overline{\zeta}+\Psi\left(\overline{\zeta}\right)\right)(1+\Phi(\zeta))}{\zeta-\overline{\zeta}} \\ &= |1+\Phi(\zeta)|^2 \frac{\overline{M(\zeta)} - M(\zeta)}{\zeta - \overline{\zeta}}\end{aligned}$$

holds in a neighborhood of ζ_1, hence $\operatorname{Im} M(\zeta) \leq 0$ is valid if $\operatorname{Im}\zeta > 0$. Therefore, if $\tau_W\left(q_{\zeta_1} q_{\overline{\zeta_1}}\right) = 0$, then $\operatorname{Im} M(\zeta) = 0$ identically due to the fact that $\operatorname{Im} M(\zeta)$ is harmonic. However, as $\zeta \to \infty$, $\tau_W\left(q_\zeta q_{\overline{\zeta}}\right) \to 1$ holds, which leads us to contradiction. Thus $\tau_W\left(q_{\zeta_1} q_{\overline{\zeta}_1}\right) > 0$ should hold. Assume $\tau_W(g_1) > 0$ is valid for $g_1 = \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} q_{\zeta_k} q_{\overline{\zeta}_k}$. Set $g = g_1 q_{\zeta_n} q_{\overline{\zeta}_n}$. For any real $h \in \mathit{\Gamma}_+$

$$\tau_{g_1^{-1}W}(h) = \frac{\tau_W(g_1 h)}{\tau_W(g_1)} \geq 0$$

holds, hence $g_1^{-1}W \in Gr_+^2$ and the argument above shows $\tau_{g_1^{-1}W}\left(q_{\zeta_n} q_{\overline{\zeta}_n}\right) > 0$. Now an identity

$$\tau_W(g) = \tau_{g_1^{-1}W}\left(q_{\zeta_n} q_{\overline{\zeta}_n}\right)\tau_W(g_1)$$

shows $\tau_W(g) > 0$. For $g(z) = \prod_{k=1}^{n} p_{\zeta_k} p_{\overline{\zeta}_k}$ the proof is similar. ■

The theorem below is crucial in the forthcoming argument.

Theorem 3 *Assume* $W \in Gr_+^2$. *Then* $\tau_W(g) > 0$ *holds for any* $g \in \Gamma_+^r$.

Proof. Let g be in Γ_+^r and set

$$g(z) = \exp\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h_k z^k\right) \quad \text{with} \quad h_k \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then, $\exp\left(-\sum_{k=0}^{n} h_k z^k\right)$ converges to g^{-1} as $n \to \infty$ in Γ. $\exp\left(-\sum_{k=0}^{n} h_k z^k\right)$ can be approximated by a polynomial of form $\prod_{k=1}^{n} q_{\zeta_k} q_{\overline{\zeta}_k}$ with $\zeta_k \in \{|z| > 1\}$ and $\operatorname{Im}\zeta_k \neq 0$, and denote $\prod_{k=1}^{n} q_{\zeta_k} q_{\overline{\zeta}_k}$ by h. Then, since τ_W is continuous and $\tau_W(1) = 1$, we see

$$\tau_W(gh) > 0$$

for sufficiently large n. Since $(gh)^{-1}W \in Gr_+^2$ is valid, from Lemma 13 it follows that $\tau_{(gh)^{-1}W}(p) > 0$ for any p of the form $\prod_{k=1}^{n} p_{\zeta_k} p_{\overline{\zeta}_k}$. Therefore

$$\tau_W(ghp) = \tau_{(gh)^{-1}W}(p)\,\tau_W(gh) > 0$$

is valid. Now, taking $p = h^{-1}$, we see $\tau_W(g) > 0$. ■

The proposition below asserts that the positivity of τ functions essentially depends on the Weyl-Titchmarsh functions.

Proposition 5 *Suppose* $W_1 \in Gr^2$ *is real. Assume* $M_{W_1}(z) = M_{W_2}(z)$ *with* $W_2 \in Gr_+^2$. *Then,* $W_1 \in Gr_+^2$.

Proof. First note that for a $W \in Gr_+^2$ if and only if for any $\{\zeta_i\}_{i=1}^{n}$ satisfying $|\zeta_i| > 1 > 0$

$$\tau_{W_1}\left(q_{\zeta_1} q_{\overline{\zeta}_1} q_{\zeta_2} q_{\overline{\zeta}_2} \cdots q_{\zeta_n} q_{\overline{\zeta}_n}\right) > 0. \tag{54}$$

For $n = 1$

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_{W_1}\left(q_{\zeta_1} q_{\overline{\zeta_1}}\right) &= \left|1 + \Phi_{W_1}(\zeta_1)\right|^2 \frac{\overline{M_{W_1}(\zeta_1)} - M_{W_1}(\zeta_1)}{\zeta_1 - \overline{\zeta_1}} \\
&= \left|1 + \Phi_{W_1}(\zeta_1)\right|^2 \frac{\overline{M_{W_2}(\zeta_1)} - M_{W_2}(\zeta_1)}{\zeta_1 - \overline{\zeta_1}} > 0
\end{aligned}$$

is valid. Since Corollary 2 shows

$$M_{q_{\zeta_1}^{-1} q_{\overline{\zeta}_1}^{-1} W_1}(z) = M_{q_{\zeta_1}^{-1} q_{\overline{\zeta}_1}^{-1} W_2}(z) \quad \text{and} \quad q_{\zeta_1}^{-1} q_{\overline{\zeta}_1}^{-1} W_2 \in Gr_+^2,$$

hence

$$\tau_{W_1}\left(q_{\zeta_1}q_{\overline{\zeta}_1}q_{\zeta_2}q_{\overline{\zeta}_2}\right) = \tau_{q_{\zeta_1}^{-1}q_{\overline{\zeta}_1}^{-1}W_1}\left(q_{\zeta_2}q_{\overline{\zeta}_2}\right)\tau_{W_1}\left(q_{\zeta_1}q_{\overline{\zeta}_2}\right) > 0.$$

In this way, inductively we can show (54). ■

Theorem 3 implies that $\mathit{\Gamma}_+^r$ acts on Gr_+^2. Therefore, q_W for $W \in Gr_+^2$ has no singularity on $\mathbb{R}$ and takes real values there. The associated Schrödinger operator with potential q_W has the Weyl-Titchmarsh function $m_\pm(\lambda)$ by imposing a suitable boundary condition at $\pm\infty$ if necessary. To investigate the relation between $m_\pm$ and M_W, we set a condition on W

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} M_{e_x^{-1}W}(a) < 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \inf_{x\in\mathbb{R}} M_{e_x^{-1}W}(-a) > 0 \tag{55}$$

for some $a > 1$. For a finite measure σ on $[-1,1]$ set

$$M_\sigma(z) = -z - \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{\sigma(d\xi)}{\xi - z}. \tag{56}$$

Proposition 6 *For $W \in Gr_+^2$ assume (55). Then, the Schrödinger operator on $\mathbb{R}$ with potential q_W has the limit point type boundaries at $\pm\infty$, and the Weyl-Titchmarsh function $m_\pm(\lambda)$ of the Schrödinger operator satisfies*

$$m_\pm\left(-z^2\right) = \pm M_W(\pm z).$$

Moreover, M_W coincides with M_σ for a finite measure σ on $[-1,1]$.

Proof. The Baker-Akhizer function of W can be written as

$$f_W(x,z) = e^{-xz}\left(1 + \Phi_{e_x^{-1}W}(z)\right),$$

we see

$$f_{e_x^{-1}W}(y,z) = e^{-yz}\left(1 + \Phi_{e_{x+y}^{-1}W}(z)\right) = e^{xz} f_W(x+y,z),$$

hence

$$M_{e_x^{-1}W}(z) = \frac{f'_{e_x^{-1}W}(0,z)}{f_{e_x^{-1}W}(0,z)} = \frac{e^{xz} f'_W(x,z)}{e^{xz} f_W(x,z)} = \frac{f'_W(x,z)}{f_W(x,z)}$$

holds, which implies

$$f_W(x,z) = f_W(0,z)\exp\left(\int_0^x M_{e_y^{-1}W}(z)\,dy\right). \tag{57}$$

On the other hand, in Remark 1 letting $\zeta_1 = \zeta_2 = \zeta$ yields

$$\tau_W\left(q_\zeta^2\right) = -\left(1 + \Phi_W(\zeta)\right)^2 M'_W(\zeta).$$

Since $q_\zeta^2 \in \mathit{\Gamma}_+^r$ for $\zeta > 1$, Theorem 3 implies $\tau_W\left(q_\zeta^2\right) > 0$, hence $M'_W(\zeta) < 0$ on $(1,\infty)$. Replacing W by $e_x^{-1}W$, we have $M'_{e_x^{-1}W}(\zeta) < 0$ on $(1,\infty)$. Therefore, it holds that

$$M_{e_x^{-1}W}(u) \le c_+ \quad \text{for any } u \ge a \text{ and } x \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $c_+ = \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} M_{e_x^{-1}W}(a)$. Then, for $z=\zeta>a$ from (57) it follows that

$$f_W(x,\zeta) \le f_W(0,\zeta)\exp(c_+x) \quad \text{for any } x\ge 0, \tag{58}$$

which shows $f_W(\cdot,\zeta)\in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$, since $c_+<0$. Similarly, replacing ζ by $-\zeta$ shows

$$f_W(x,-\zeta) \ge f_W(0,-\zeta)\exp(c_-x) \quad \text{for any } x\ge 0, \tag{59}$$

where $c_- = \inf_{x\in\mathbb{R}} M_{e_x^{-1}W}(-a)$. In this case $c_->0$ and we have $f_W(\cdot,-\zeta)\notin L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$. Since $f_W(\cdot,\pm\zeta)$ satisfies the same equation

$$-f'' + q_W f = -\zeta^2 f,$$

(58),(59) show the boundary ∞ is of the limit point type. The boundary $-\infty$ is also shown to be of the same type. Simultaneously (58),(59) imply that $\left(-\infty,-a^2\right)$ is contained in the resolvent set of $-d^2/dx^2+q_W$, and the Weyl-Titchmarsh functions $m_\pm(\lambda)$ obey

$$m_+\left(-\lambda^2\right) = M_W(\lambda), \quad m_-\left(-\lambda^2\right) = -M_W(-\lambda)$$

for $\lambda>a$. Therefore

$$m_+(\lambda) = M_W\left(\sqrt{-\lambda}\right), \quad m_-(\lambda) = -M_W\left(-\sqrt{-\lambda}\right)$$

hold and we have

$$m_+(\xi+i0) = M_W\left(i\sqrt{\xi}\right) = \overline{M_W\left(-i\sqrt{\xi}\right)} = -\overline{m_-(\xi+i0)} \ \text{ for } \xi>0,$$

which means the reflectionless property on $\mathbb{R}_+$ of $-d^2/dx^2+q_W$. Then, from [6] we know that there exists a finite measure on $[-a,a]$ such that

$$M_W(z) = -z - \int_{-a}^{a}\frac{\sigma(d\xi)}{\xi-z}.$$

However, $M_W(z)$ is holomorphic on $|z|>1$ and takes real values on $\mathbb{R}\cap[-1,1]^c$, hence σ has its support on $[-1,1]$. ■

To obtain a sufficient condition for $W\in Gr^2$ to be in $Gr^2_{+},$. for a finite measure σ on $[-1,1]$ set

$$\Phi(z)=0, \qquad \Psi(z) = \int_{-1}^{1}\frac{\sigma(d\xi)}{\xi-z}.$$

For these $\{\Phi,\Psi\}$ to be generating functions of a $W\in Gr^2$, from Proposition1 it is necessary that

$$\Pi(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \displaystyle\int_{-1}^{1}\frac{\xi\sigma(d\xi)}{\xi^2-z} \\ 0 & 1+\displaystyle\int_{-1}^{1}\frac{\sigma(d\xi)}{\xi^2-z} \end{pmatrix}$$

is invertible for any $|z|>1$. Since $\Delta(z)=\det\Pi(z)$ is

$$\Delta(z) = 1+\int_{-1}^{1}\frac{\sigma(d\xi)}{\xi^2-z}$$

and $\Delta(\infty) = 1$, it should be valid that

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \frac{\sigma(d\xi)}{1-\xi^2} \leq 1. \tag{60}$$

In this case

$$\Pi(z)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\dfrac{\displaystyle\int_{-1}^{1} \frac{\xi\sigma(d\xi)}{\xi^2 - z}}{1 + \displaystyle\int_{-1}^{1} \frac{\sigma(d\xi)}{\xi^2 - z}} \\ 0 & \dfrac{1}{1 + \displaystyle\int_{-1}^{1} \frac{\sigma(d\xi)}{\xi^2 - z}} \end{pmatrix}$$

holds. Assume

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \frac{\sigma(d\xi)}{1-\xi^2} < 1. \tag{61}$$

Then, $\Pi(z), \Pi(z)^{-1}$ are continuous on $|z| \leq 1$. (20) of Proposition 2 is satisfied, if

$$\int_{\substack{-\pi \leq \theta \leq \pi \\ -\pi \leq \varphi \leq \pi}} \left\| \frac{\Pi\left(e^{i\theta}\right) - \Pi\left(e^{i\varphi}\right)}{e^{i\theta} - e^{i\varphi}} \right\|^2 d\theta d\varphi < \infty \tag{62}$$

is valid. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Pi\left(e^{i\theta}\right) - \Pi\left(e^{i\varphi}\right)}{e^{i\theta} - e^{i\varphi}} &= \frac{1}{e^{i\theta} - e^{i\varphi}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \displaystyle\int_{-1}^{1} \frac{\xi\sigma(d\xi)}{\xi^2 - e^{i\theta}} - \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{\xi\sigma(d\xi)}{\xi^2 - e^{i\varphi}} \\ 0 & \displaystyle\int_{-1}^{1} \frac{\sigma(d\xi)}{\xi^2 - e^{i\theta}} - \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{\sigma(d\xi)}{\xi^2 - e^{i\varphi}} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \displaystyle\int_{-1}^{1} \frac{\xi\sigma(d\xi)}{\left(\xi^2 - e^{i\theta}\right)\left(\xi^2 - e^{i\varphi}\right)} \\ 0 & \displaystyle\int_{-1}^{1} \frac{\sigma(d\xi)}{\left(\xi^2 - e^{i\theta}\right)\left(\xi^2 - e^{i\varphi}\right)} \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^2 \int_{\substack{-\pi \leq \theta \leq \pi \\ -\pi \leq \varphi \leq \pi}} \left| \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{\mu(d\xi)}{\left(\xi^2 - e^{i\theta}\right)\left(\xi^2 - e^{i\varphi}\right)} \right|^2 d\theta d\varphi = \int_{|\xi|<1, |\xi'|<1} \frac{\mu(d\xi)\mu(d\xi')}{\left(1 - \xi^2\xi'^2\right)^2} < \infty$$

with $\mu = \sigma$ or $\xi\sigma$, (62) holds. Set

$$\Sigma = \{\sigma;\ \text{a finite measure on } [-1,1] \text{ satisfying (61)}\}.$$

Then, what we have proved is

Lemma 14 *For $\sigma \in \Sigma$ the space*

$$W_\sigma = \left\{\varphi\left(z^2\right) + M_\sigma(z)\psi\left(z^2\right);\ \ \varphi,\ \psi \in H_+\right\} \tag{63}$$

is an element of Gr^2 whose Weyl-Titchmarsh function is M_σ.

The next task is to show $W_\sigma \in Gr^2_+$. Let Σ_d be the set of all $\sigma \in \Sigma$ having a support consisting of finitely many points. For $\sigma \in \Sigma_d$ let $\{\zeta_j\}_{1\le j\le m}$ be the support of σ. Then, they are distinct real numbers and are contained in $(-1,1)$. $M_\sigma(z)$ is meromorphic and takes a form of

$$M_\sigma(z) = -z - \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{\sigma_j}{\zeta_j - z}, \quad \sigma_j > 0. \tag{64}$$

In this case

$$\Phi(z) = 0, \quad \Psi(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{\sigma_j}{\zeta_j - z}$$

and

$$\Delta(z) = \det \Pi(z) = 1 + \Psi_o(z) = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{\sigma_j}{\zeta_j^2 - z}$$

hold. Let zeros of $\Delta(z)$ be

$$0 < \mu_1 < \mu_2 < \cdots < \mu_m.$$

It is easy to see that every zero of Δ is simple, and there exists exactly one zero between two consecutive poles. Set $\eta_i = \sqrt{\mu_i} > 0$. Then

$$m_i = (\eta_i + \zeta_i) \prod_{j:j\ne i}^{n} \frac{\eta_i + \zeta_j}{\eta_i - \eta_j} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\eta_i + \eta_j}{\eta_i - \zeta_j}$$

defines a positive quantity. We have the following

Lemma 15 *([6]) For $\sigma \in \Sigma_d$ and $g \in \Gamma_+$ it holds that*

$$\tau_{W_\sigma}(g) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} \left(g(-\eta_j) g(\zeta_j)^{-1} \right) \frac{\det\left(\delta_{ij} + \dfrac{\sqrt{m_i m_j}}{\eta_i + \eta_j} g(\eta_i) g(\eta_j) \right)}{\det\left(\delta_{ij} + \dfrac{\sqrt{m_i m_j}}{\eta_i + \eta_j} \right)},$$

which implies particularly $W_\sigma \in Gr^2_+$.

Set

$$Gr^2_\Sigma = \left\{ W \in Gr^2;\ W \text{ is real and there exists a } \sigma \in \Sigma \text{ such that } M_W = M_\sigma. \right\}$$

Lemma 16 *$Gr^2_\Sigma \subset Gr^2_+$ is valid.*

Proof. (i) Take $W \in Gr^2_\Sigma$ with a $\sigma \in \Sigma$ such that $M_W = M_\sigma$. If $\sigma \in \Sigma_d$, then Lemma 15 implies $\tau_{W_\sigma}(g) > 0$ for $g \in \Gamma_+^r$. Approximating a general $\sigma \in \Sigma$ by $\sigma_n \in \Sigma_d$ keeping

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \frac{1}{1-\xi^2} \sigma_n(d\xi) \le \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{1}{1-\xi^2} \sigma(d\xi), \tag{65}$$

we see $W_\sigma \in Gr^2_+$, since $\tau_W(g)$ is continuous with respect to W. ■

Lemma 15 implies that q_{W_σ} is obtained by

$$q_{W_\sigma}(x) = -2\frac{d^2}{dx^2}\log\det\left(\delta_{ij} + \frac{\sqrt{m_i m_j}}{\eta_i + \eta_j}e^{-x(\eta_i+\eta_j)}\right), \tag{66}$$

which is an initial value defining an $n-$soliton solution for the KdV equation. Conversely, for any positive numbers $\{\eta_i, m_i\}_{i=1}^n$, a Schrödinger operator with potential q defined by the right side of (66) yield the Weyl-Titchmarsh function $m_+(\lambda)$, and it is known that

$$M(z) = m_+\left(-z^2\right)$$

defines a Weyl-Titchmarsh function with the form of (64). Consequently, we see that Σ_d is parametrized by positive numbers $\{\eta_i, m_i\}_{i=1}^n$. Now, for $g \in \mathit{\Gamma}_+^r$ Lemma 15 implies

$$\left(K(g)\,q_{W_\sigma}\right)(x) = -2\frac{d^2}{dx^2}\log\det\left(\delta_{ij} + \frac{\sqrt{m_i m_j}}{\eta_i + \eta_j}e^{-x(\eta_i+\eta_j)}g(\eta_i)\,g(\eta_j)\right),$$

which shows that $K(g)\,q_{W_\sigma}$ again defines an $n-$soliton solution with parameter $\left\{\eta_i, m_i g(\eta_i)^2\right\}$. Therefore, the associated Weyl-Titchmarsh function is of the form (64). The property (61) is verified as follows. Set

$$\mathit{\Gamma}_+^{r,2} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} g \in \mathit{\Gamma}_+^r;\ g \text{ is twice differentiable on } |z| = 1 \\ \text{whose second derivative belongs to } L^2\left(|z| = 1\right). \end{array} \right\}.$$

Lemma 17 *If $g \in \mathit{\Gamma}_+^{r,2}$, then, for $W \in Gr_\Sigma^2$ it holds that $g^{-1}W \in Gr_\Sigma^2$. Moreover, let σ, σ_g be the measures corresponding to W, $g^{-1}W$ respectively, and assume supp $\sigma \subset [-\lambda_0, \lambda_0]$ with $\lambda_0 \in (0,1)$. Then, supp $\sigma_g \subset [-\lambda_0, \lambda_0]$.*

Proof. If $W \in Gr_\Sigma^2$ has its M_W equal to M_σ with $\sigma \in \Sigma_d$, then the above argument shows $g^{-1}W \in Gr_\Sigma^2$. Approximate a general $\sigma \in \Sigma$ by $\sigma_n \in \Sigma_d$ keeping the inequality (65). Then, $W_{\sigma_n} \to W_\sigma$, and $M_{g^{-1}W_{\sigma_n}} \to M_{g^{-1}W}$, since $U_W(z, g)$ is continuous with respect to W. Let $\mu_n \in \Sigma_d$ be the measure such that $M_{g^{-1}W_{\sigma_n}} = M_{\mu_n}$. Then, M_{μ_n} converges to $M_{g^{-1}W}$ compact uniformly on $|z| > 1$, hence μ_n converges weakly to a finite measure μ on $[-1, 1]$ satisfying

$$\int_{-1}^{1}\frac{\mu(d\xi)}{1-\xi^2} \leq 1, \tag{67}$$

and $M_{g^{-1}W} = M_\mu$. What we have to show is that the above inequality is strict. Since

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_{g^{-1}W}(z) &= \left(1 + \Phi_{g^{-1}W}(z)\right)\left(1 + \Phi_{g^{-1}W}(-z)\right)\frac{M_{g^{-1}W}(z) - M_{g^{-1}W}(-z)}{2z} \\ &= \left(1 + \Phi_{g^{-1}W}(z)\right)\left(1 + \Phi_{g^{-1}W}(-z)\right)\frac{M_\mu(z) - M_\mu(-z)}{2z} \\ &= \left(1 + \Phi_{g^{-1}W}(z)\right)\left(1 + \Phi_{g^{-1}W}(-z)\right)\left(1 - \int_{-1}^{1}\frac{\mu(d\xi)}{z^2 - \xi^2}\right),\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\Delta_{g^{-1}W}(z) = \Delta_W(z) = (1+\Phi_W(z))(1+\Phi_W(-z))\left(1-\int_{-1}^{1}\frac{\sigma(d\xi)}{z^2-\xi^2}\right),$$

we have an identity

$$1-\int_{-1}^{1}\frac{\sigma(d\xi)}{z^2-\xi^2} = \frac{\left(1+\Phi_{g^{-1}W}(z)\right)\left(1+\Phi_{g^{-1}W}(-z)\right)}{(1+\Phi_W(z))(1+\Phi_W(-z))}\left(1-\int_{-1}^{1}\frac{\mu(d\xi)}{z^2-\xi^2}\right).$$

Setting

$$U_W(z,g) = \begin{pmatrix} a(z) & b(z) \\ c(z) & d(z) \end{pmatrix}$$

yields

$$\frac{1+\Phi_{g^{-1}W}(z)}{1+\Phi_W(z)} = \frac{a\left(z^2\right)+b\left(z^2\right)M_W(z)}{g(z)}.$$

Consequently we see

$$1-\int_{-1}^{1}\frac{\sigma(d\xi)}{z^2-\xi^2} = E(z)\left(1-\int_{-1}^{1}\frac{\mu(d\xi)}{z^2-\xi^2}\right), \tag{68}$$

where

$$E(z) = \frac{\left(a\left(z^2\right)+b\left(z^2\right)M_W(z)\right)\left(a\left(z^2\right)+b\left(z^2\right)M_W(-z)\right)}{g(z)g(-z)}.$$

Since g is twice differentiable, from Theorem 2 the continuity of $U_W(z,g)$ follows Then $E(z)$ is continuous on $|z|=1$. In (68) letting $z\downarrow 1$ yields

$$1-\int_{-1}^{1}\frac{\sigma(d\xi)}{1-\xi^2} = E(1)\left(1-\int_{-1}^{1}\frac{\mu(d\xi)}{1-\xi^2}\right).$$

Since the left side is positive, we have the strict inequality in (67).

On the other hand, the assumption supp $\sigma\subset[-\lambda_0,\lambda_0]$ and the identity (68) imply that supp $\sigma_g =$ supp $\mu\subset[-\lambda_0,\lambda_0]$, which completes the proof. ■

Set

$$\Omega_\Sigma = \left\{q;\ \text{there exists a } W\in Gr^2_\Sigma \text{ such that } q = q_W.\right\}.$$

Lemma 17 says that the KdV flow $\{K(g)\}_{g\in\Gamma_+^{r,2}}$ can be defined on Ω_Σ.

Remark 2 *It might be true that* $Gr^2_\Sigma = Gr^2_+$

Remark 3 *If a potential* q *is reflectionless on* $[0,\infty)$ *and the associated Schrödinger operator* H_q *has its spectrum in* $[-\lambda_0,\infty)$ *for some* $\lambda_0>0$*, namely* $q\in\Omega_{\lambda_0}$*, then the representing measure* σ *has its support in* $[-\sqrt{\lambda_0},\sqrt{\lambda_0}]$*. In order to consider this case in the framework of Grassmann manifold we have to replace* $H=L^2(|z|=1)$ *by* $H=L^2(|z|=R)$ *satisfying* $R>\sqrt{\lambda_0}$ *and reconstruct the whole theory based on this* H*, which is not difficult to do.*

5 Infinitesimal generator for $U_W\left(z, e^{th}\right)$

In this section we consider the action $U_W\left(z, e^{th}\right)$ between the two generating functions infinitesimally. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the totality of $h \in H_+$ satisfying the following properties:

(H.1) h is twice differentiable on $|z| = 1$ and the second derivative belongs to $L^2\left(|z| = 1\right)$.
(H.2) $\overline{h\left(\overline{z}\right)} = h(z), \quad h(z) = -h(-z)$.

Due to Theorem 2 we know for $h \in \mathcal{H}$

$$\det U_W\left(z, e^{th}\right) = e^{th_e(z)} = 1. \tag{69}$$

Define an infinitesimal generator for $U_W\left(z, e^{th}\right)$ by

$$A_W\left(z, h\right) = \left.\frac{\partial}{\partial t} U_W\left(z, e^{th}\right)\right|_{t=0}. \tag{70}$$

Then, owing to (69) $\mathrm{tr} A_W\left(z, h\right) = 0$ is valid. Set

$$\Phi_{e^{-th}W}\left(z\right) = \frac{a_1\left(t\right)}{z} + \frac{a_2\left(t\right)}{z^2} + \cdots.$$

Lemma 18 *Assume $W \in Gr^2_\Sigma$ and $h \in \mathcal{H}$. Then it holds that*

$$A_W\left(z, h\right) - \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ a_1'\left(0\right) & 0 \end{array}\right) = P_+\left\{h_o(z)^t\Pi_W\left(z\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \\ z & 0 \end{array}\right){}^t\Pi_W\left(z\right)^{-1}\right\},$$

where

$$h_o(z) = \frac{h\left(\sqrt{z}\right) - h\left(-\sqrt{z}\right)}{2\sqrt{z}}.$$

Proof. If we set

$$U_W\left(z, e^{th}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} a\left(t, z\right) & b\left(t, z\right) \\ c\left(t, z\right) & d\left(t, z\right) \end{array}\right),$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} W &\ni e^{th}\left(1 + \Phi_{e^{-th}W}\right) = a\left(t, z^2\right)\left(1 + \Phi_W\right) + b\left(t, z^2\right)\left(z + \Psi_W + a_1\left(1 + \Phi_W\right)\right) \\ W &\ni e^{th}\left(z + \Psi_{e^{-th}W} + a_1\left(t\right)\left(1 + \Phi_{e^{-th}W}\right)\right) = c\left(t, z^2\right)\left(1 + \Phi_W\right) \\ &\qquad\qquad + d\left(t, z^2\right)\left(z + \Psi_W + a_1\left(1 + \Phi_W\right)\right) \end{aligned}$$

Differentiating the both sides with respect to t and setting $t = 0$, we have

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} h\left(1 + \Phi_W\right) + \left.\dfrac{\partial}{\partial t}\Phi_{e^{-th}W}\right|_{t=0} \\ = a'\left(0, z^2\right)\left(1 + \Phi_W\right) + b'\left(0, z^2\right)\left(z + \Psi_W + a_1\left(1 + \Phi_W\right)\right) \\ h\left(z + \Psi_W + a_1\left(1 + \Phi_W\right)\right) + \left.\dfrac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(z + \Psi_{e^{-th}W} + a_1\left(t\right)\left(1 + \Phi_{e^{-th}W}\right)\right)\right|_{t=0} \\ = c'\left(0, z^2\right)\left(1 + \Phi_W\right) + d'\left(0, z^2\right)\left(z + \Psi_W + a_1\left(1 + \Phi_W\right)\right), \end{array}\right.$$

which implies

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} h\left(1+\Phi_W\right) \\ \qquad \equiv a'\left(0,z^2\right)\left(1+\Phi_W\right)+b'\left(0,z^2\right)\left(z+\Psi_W+a_1\left(1+\Phi_W\right)\right) \\ h\left(z+\Psi_W+a_1\left(1+\Phi_W\right)\right) \\ \qquad \equiv \left(c'\left(0,z^2\right)-a_1'\left(0\right)\right)\left(1+\Phi_W\right)+d'\left(0,z^2\right)\left(z+\Psi_W+a_1\left(1+\Phi_W\right)\right) \end{array}\right.$$

where $\equiv$ means the identity modulo H_-. Since, $1+\Phi_W\in\Gamma_+^r$ for $W\in Gr_\Sigma^2$, setting

$$a(z)=a'\left(0,z\right),\quad b(z)=b'\left(0,z\right),\quad c(z)=c'\left(0,z\right)-a_1'\left(0\right),\quad d(z)=d'\left(0,z\right)$$

we have

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} a(z^2)-b(z^2)M_W\left(z\right)\equiv h\left(z\right) \\ c(z^2)-d(z^2)M_W\left(z\right)\equiv -h\left(z\right)M_W\left(z\right) \end{array}\right.,$$

which is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\begin{array}{cc} a(z) & b(z) \\ c(z) & d(z)\end{array}\right){}^t\Pi_W\left(z\right) &\equiv h_o(z)\left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \\ -zM_o\left(z\right) & -M_e\left(z\right)\end{array}\right) \\ &= h_o(z)\,{}^t\Pi_W\left(z\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \\ z & 0\end{array}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Since ${}^t\Pi_W\left(z\right)$ is invertible for $|z|\geq 1$ and ${}^t\Pi_W\left(z\right)^{-1}$ maps H_- to H_-, we have

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} a(z) & b(z) \\ c(z) & d(z)\end{array}\right)\equiv h_o(z)\,{}^t\Pi_W\left(z\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \\ z & 0\end{array}\right){}^t\Pi_W\left(z\right)^{-1},$$

which completes the proof. ■

Let

$$M_W\left(z\right)=-z-\int_{-1}^1\frac{\sigma\left(d\xi\right)}{\xi-z}$$

for a $\sigma\in\Sigma$. Then, it holds that

$${}^t\Pi_W\left(z\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ \displaystyle\int_{-1}^1\frac{\xi}{\xi^2-z}\sigma\left(d\xi\right) & 1+\displaystyle\int_{-1}^1\frac{1}{\xi^2-z}\sigma\left(d\xi\right)\end{array}\right)=I-\sum_{n=0}^\infty C_nz^{-n-1}$$

with

$$C_n=\left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ c_{2n+1} & c_{2n}\end{array}\right),\quad c_n=\int_{-1}^1\xi^n\sigma\left(d\xi\right).$$

Then

$${}^t\Pi_W\left(z\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \\ z & 0\end{array}\right){}^t\Pi_W\left(z\right)^{-1}=zB_{-1}+\sum_{n=0}^\infty B_nz^{-n},$$

holds, and the first three terms are

$$B_{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right),\ B_0=\left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -c_0\end{array}\right),\ B_1=\left(\begin{array}{cc} c_1 & c_0 \\ c_2 & -c_1\end{array}\right)$$

Example 1 *For* $h(z)=z$

$$A_W\left(z,h\right)-\left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ a_1'\left(0\right) & 0\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)z+\left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \\ -c_0 & 0\end{array}\right).$$

Example 2 *For* $h(z) = z^3$

$$A_W\left(z,h\right) - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ a_1'\left(0\right) & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} z^2 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -c_0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} z + \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & c_0 \\ c_2 & -c_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

6 Limit set

We have prepared every tool which is necessary to obtain the main result. The last thing we have to do is to show the contraction property of $U_W\left(z, e^{th}\right)$, and to define the reflection property suitably.

Let

$$\Theta\left(t\right) = U_W\left(z, e^{th}\right).$$

In the identity

$$\Theta\left(t+s\right) = U_W\left(z, e^{(t+s)h}\right) = U_{e^{-th}W}\left(z, e^{sh}\right) U_W\left(z, e^{th}\right) = U_{e^{-th}W}\left(z, e^{sh}\right)\Theta\left(t\right)$$

taking the derivative for s, we see

$$\Theta'\left(t\right) = A(t)\Theta\left(t\right), \qquad \Theta\left(0\right) = I \tag{71}$$

with $A(t) = A_{e^{-th}W}\left(z,h\right).$

6.1 Fractional linear transformation with contraction property

The solution of (71) defines a fractional linear transformation. In this section we define a scale measuring the magnitude of contraction for this transformation. Let $A(t)$ be 2×2 matrix and $U(t)$ be the solution to

$$U'(t) = A(t)U(t), \quad U(0) = I. \tag{72}$$

Let

$$A(t) = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}(t) & a_{12}(t) \\ a_{21}(t) & a_{22}(t) \end{pmatrix}, \quad U(t) = \begin{pmatrix} u_{11}(t) & u_{12}(t) \\ u_{21}(t) & u_{22}(t) \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$\Gamma = -iJ, \qquad J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Γ is an Hermitian matrix with eigenvalues ± 1. It is easy to see that a 2×2 matrix U maps the upper half plane $\mathbb{C}_+$ into $\mathbb{C}_+$ as a fractional linear transformation if and only if

$$\left(\Gamma U\boldsymbol{z}, U\boldsymbol{z}\right) > 0 \quad \text{for any } \boldsymbol{z} = \left(z,1\right)^t \quad \text{with} \quad z\in\mathbb{C}_+.$$

Moreover, a 2×2 matrix A has its trace 0 if and only if $H = JA$ is symmetric, namely $H = H^t$.Throughout this section we assume

$$\mathrm{tr}A(t) = 0 \quad \text{for any} \quad t \geq 0.$$

Define a symmetric matrix $H(t)$ by

$$H\left(t\right) = JA(t).$$

Lemma 19 *Assume*

$$\operatorname{Im} H\left(t\right) \geq 0 \quad \textit{for any} \quad t \geq 0. \tag{73}$$

Then, for $t \geq 0$, $U(t)$ *maps* $\mathbb{C}_{+}$ *into* $\mathbb{C}_{+}$.

Proof. Set

$$f(t) = \left(\Gamma U\left(t\right)\boldsymbol{z}, U\left(t\right)\boldsymbol{z}\right), \quad H(t) = JA(t).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} f'(t) &= \left(\Gamma U'\left(t\right)\boldsymbol{z}, U\left(t\right)\boldsymbol{z}\right) + \left(\Gamma U\left(t\right)\boldsymbol{z}, U'\left(t\right)\boldsymbol{z}\right) \\ &= \left(\Gamma J^{-1}H(t)U\left(t\right)\boldsymbol{z}, U\left(t\right)\boldsymbol{z}\right) + \left(\Gamma U\left(t\right)\boldsymbol{z}, J^{-1}H\left(t\right)U\left(t\right)\boldsymbol{z}\right) \\ &= -i\left(H(t)U\left(t\right)\boldsymbol{z}, U\left(t\right)\boldsymbol{z}\right) + i\left(U\left(t\right)\boldsymbol{z}, H\left(t\right)U\left(t\right)\boldsymbol{z}\right) \\ &= 2\left(\left(\operatorname{Im} H(t)\right)U\left(t\right)\boldsymbol{z}, U\left(t\right)\boldsymbol{z}\right) \geq 0, \end{aligned} \tag{74}$$

hold. Since $f(0) = (\Gamma\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{z}) = 2\operatorname{Im} z > 0$, we easily see $f(t) > 0$ for any $t \geq 0$, which completes the proof. ■

On $\mathbb{C}_{+}$ define a pseudo metric γ by

$$\gamma\left(z_1, z_2\right) = \frac{\left|z_1 - z_2\right|}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Im} z_1}\sqrt{\operatorname{Im} z_2}}.$$

Then it is known that

$$\gamma\left(F\left(z_1\right), F\left(z_2\right)\right) \leq \gamma\left(z_1, z_2\right) \tag{75}$$

holds for any Herglotz function F. Set

$$\mathcal{U}_{+} = \left\{U \in SL\left(2, \mathbb{C}\right);\ U \text{ maps } \mathbb{C}_{+} \text{ into } \mathbb{C}_{+}.\right\}$$

and for $U \in \mathcal{U}_{+}$ define a norm by

$$\rho\left(U\right) = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{C}_{+}} \frac{2\operatorname{Im} z}{\left(\Gamma U\boldsymbol{z}, U\boldsymbol{z}\right)},$$

which is not greater than 1 due to (75). Obviously we have inequalities

$$\begin{cases} \gamma\left(Uz_1, Uz_2\right) \leq \rho\left(U\right)\gamma\left(z_1, z_2\right) & \text{for any } z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}_{+} \text{ and } U \in \mathcal{U}_{+} \\ \rho\left(U_2U_1\right) \leq \rho\left(U_2\right)\rho\left(U_1\right) & \text{for } U_1, U_2 \in \mathcal{U}_{+}. \end{cases} \tag{76}$$

For later purpose we have to estimate $\rho\left(U\left(t\right)\right)$ for the solution $U\left(t\right)$ of (73). Define a non-decreasing function by

$$\phi\left(t\right) = \inf_{z \in \mathbb{C}_{+}} \frac{1}{\operatorname{Im} z}\int_0^t \left(\left(\operatorname{Im} H(s)\right)U\left(s\right)\boldsymbol{z}, U\left(s\right)\boldsymbol{z}\right)ds. \tag{77}$$

For the solution (72) we have from (74)

$$\rho\left(U\left(t\right)\right) = \frac{1}{1 + \phi\left(t\right)}. \tag{78}$$

A sufficient condition for $\phi\left(t\right) > 0$ is that the matrix

$$\int_0^t U\left(s\right)^{*}\left(\operatorname{Im} H(s)\right)U\left(s\right)ds$$

is strictly positive definite.

6.2 Reflectionless property

Set

$$(Rf)(z) = f(-z)$$

for $f \in H$, and

$$RW = \{f \in H : \ Rf \in W\}$$

for $W \in Gr^2$. Then, it is easy to see that $RW \in Gr^2$, and

$$A_{RW} = RA_W R^{-1}, \quad R_{RW}(g) = RR_W(Rg)R^{-1}$$

hold, hence

$$\begin{cases} \tau_{RW}(g) = \tau_W(Rg), \quad \Phi_{RW} = R\Phi_W, \quad \Psi_{RW} = -R\Psi_W \\ M_{RW}(z) = -M_W(-z), \quad q_{RW}(x) = q_W(-x), \end{cases} \tag{79}$$

and

$$U_{RW}(z, Rg) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} U_W(z, g) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{80}$$

which implies for $u \in \mathbb{C}$

$$U_{RW}(z, Rg) \cdot u = -U_W(z, g) \cdot (-u), \tag{81}$$

and $RW \in Gr^2_+$ if $W \in Gr^2_+$. Moreover, $RW \in Gr^2_\Sigma$ if $W \in Gr^2_\Sigma$, and the associated potential q is reflectionless on $A \in \mathcal{B}([-1, 0])$ if

$$m_+(\xi + i0, q) = -\overline{m_-(\xi + i0, q)} \text{ for a.e. } \xi \in A$$

holds, which is equivalent to

$$M_W\left(\sqrt{-\xi} + i0\right) = -\overline{M_{RW}\left(\sqrt{-\xi} + i0\right)} = M_W\left(-\sqrt{-\xi} + i0\right) \quad \text{a.e.} \quad \xi \in A, \tag{82}$$

since

$$m_+\left(-\lambda^2, q\right) = M_W(\lambda), \quad m_-\left(-\lambda^2, q\right) = -M_W(-\lambda) = M_{RW}(\lambda).$$

As we did in the proof of Corollary 2, set

$$\widehat{U}_W(z, g) = L^{-1} U_W(z, g) L \quad \text{with} \ \ L = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then, (81) turns to

$$\widehat{U}_{RW}(z, Rg) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \widehat{U}_W(z, g) \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

which implies again

$$\widehat{U}_{RW}(z, Rg) \cdot u = -\widehat{U}_W(z, g) \cdot (-u). \tag{83}$$

Set

$$\mathcal{R}(A) = \{q \in \Omega_\Sigma; \ q \text{ is reflectionless on } A.\}$$

Proposition 7 *$\mathcal{R}(A)$ is invariant under $\{K(g)\}_{g\in\Gamma_+^{r,2}}$.*

Proof. Since $U_{RW}\left(\sqrt{-\xi}+i0,Rg\right)$ is a real matrix, (79) implies

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{-M_{R(g^{-1}W)}\left(\sqrt{-\xi}+i0\right)} &= \overline{-M_{(Rg)^{-1}RW}\left(\sqrt{-\xi}+i0\right)} \\
&= \overline{\widehat{U}_{RW}\left(\sqrt{-\xi}+i0,Rg\right)\cdot\left(-M_{RW}\left(\sqrt{-\xi}+i0\right)\right)} \\
&= \widehat{U}_{RW}\left(\sqrt{-\xi}+i0,Rg\right)\cdot\left(\overline{-M_{RW}\left(\sqrt{-\xi}+i0\right)}\right) \\
&= \widehat{U}_{RW}\left(\sqrt{-\xi}+i0,Rg\right)\cdot\left(M_{W}\left(\sqrt{-\xi}+i0\right)\right)
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, due to (83) we have

$$= -\widehat{U}_{W}\left(\sqrt{-\xi}+i0,g\right)\cdot\left(-M_{W}\left(\sqrt{-\xi}+i0\right)\right) = M_{g^{-1}W}\left(\sqrt{-\xi}+i0\right).$$

■

6.3 Main result

In this section, applying the results of the previous sections to

$$\widehat{U}_{W}\left(z,e^{th}\right) = L^{-1}U_{W}\left(z,e^{th}\right)L \quad \text{with} \quad L=\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

we prove the main result. The property (73) is crucial, hence, for $W\in Gr_{\Sigma}^{2}$ denote by $\mathcal{H}_{W}^{\pm}$ the totality of $h\in\mathcal{H}$ satisfying the following two conditions. Set

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{\epsilon}^{\pm} &= \left\{z\in\mathbb{C};\ 0\le \operatorname{Im}z\le\epsilon,\quad 0\le\pm\operatorname{Re}z\le 1\right\}, \\
H_t &= \operatorname{Im} JL^{-1}A_{e^{-th}W}\left(z,h\right)L.
\end{aligned}$$

(i) There exists an $\epsilon>0$ such that $H_t\ge 0$ for any $t\ge 0$, $z\in D_{\epsilon}^{\pm}$.
(ii) For some $t>0$ and any $z\in D_{\epsilon}^{\pm}$

$$\int_0^t \widehat{U}_{W}\left(z,e^{sh}\right)^{*}H_s\widehat{U}_{W}\left(z,e^{sh}\right)ds \tag{84}$$

is strictly positive definite.

In order to prove the main result we essentially follow the argument made by Breimesser-Pearson and Remling. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the set of all Herglotz functions on $\mathbb{C}_+=\{z\in\mathbb{C};\ \operatorname{Im}z>0\}$, namely

$$\mathcal{M}=\left\{m:\mathbb{C}_+\to\mathbb{C}_+;\ m\text{ is holomorphic}\right\}.$$

Define

$$\omega_z\left(S\right)=\operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{1}{\pi}\int_S\frac{1}{s-z}ds\right)=\frac{1}{\pi}\int_S\frac{y}{\left(t-x\right)^2+y^2}dt$$

for $z=x+iy$. Pearson [10],[11] introduced the following notion of convergence.

Definition 1 *A sequence of Herglotz functions* $\{m_n\}$ *converges to* $m \in \mathcal{M}$ *in value distribution if*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_A \omega_{m_n(x)}(S)\,dx = \int_A \omega_{m(x)}(S)\,dx \tag{85}$$

for all Borel sets A, S *of* $\mathbb{R}$ *such that* $|A| < \infty$.

The following two lemmas were used by Breimesser-Pearson [1],[2].

Lemma 20 *For a sequence* $\{m_n\}$ *of* $\mathcal{M}$ *and* $m \in \mathcal{M}$, *the following statements are equivalent.*
(1) $m_n \to m$ *compact uniformly on* $\mathbb{C}_+$.
(2) $m_n \to m$ *in value distribution.*
(3) (*85*) *holds for any bounded intervals* A, S.

Lemma 21 *Let* $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ *with* $|A| < \infty$. *Then*

$$\lim_{y\downarrow 0} \sup_{m\in\mathcal{M}, S\in\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})} \left| \int_A \omega_{m(x+iy)}(S)\,dx - \int_A \omega_{m(x)}(S)\,dx \right| = 0.$$

For $h \in \mathcal{H}_W^+$, we need a uniform estimate of $\rho\left(\widehat{U}_{e^{-th}W}\left(z, e^{bh}\right)\right)$.

Lemma 22 *Assume the measure* σ *has its support in* $(-1, 1)$. *Let* K *be a compact subset of*

$$\{z \in \mathbb{C}\ ;\ 0 < \operatorname{Im} z < \epsilon,\ 0 < \operatorname{Re} z < 1\}.$$

Then, for any $b > 0$

$$\delta = \sup_{z\in K,\ t\geq 0} \rho\left(\widehat{U}_{e^{-th}W}\left(z, e^{bh}\right)\right) < 1.$$

Proof. First note that due to (78) and the assumption (84), for each $t \geq 0$

$$\rho\left(\widehat{U}_{e^{-th}W}\left(z, e^{bh}\right)\right) < 1. \tag{86}$$

In order to show the estimate (86) is uniform with respect to $t \geq 0$, let $\sigma_t \in \Sigma$ be the measure associated with $e^{-th}W \in Gr_\Sigma^2$. Since Proposition 4 says that $U_W(z, g)$ depends only on g, M_W, we have

$$\widehat{U}_{e^{-th}W}(z, g) = \widehat{U}_{W_{\sigma_t}}(z, g).$$

Suppose $\sigma_{t_n} \to \mu$ for a sequence $\{t_n\}$ diverging to ∞. Let $\operatorname{supp}\sigma \subset \left[-\sqrt{\lambda_0}, \sqrt{\lambda_0}\right]$ with $\lambda_0 \in (0, 1)$. Then, Lemma 17 shows $\operatorname{supp}\sigma_t \subset \left[-\sqrt{\lambda_0}, \sqrt{\lambda_0}\right]$. In this case Theorem 1 implies

$$\int_{-\sqrt{\lambda_0}}^{\sqrt{\lambda_0}} \frac{\sigma_t(d\xi)}{\lambda_0 - \xi^2} \leq 1,$$

hence supp $\mu \subset \left[-\sqrt{\lambda_0}, \sqrt{\lambda_0}\right]$ and

$$\int_{-\sqrt{\lambda_0}}^{\sqrt{\lambda_0}} \frac{\mu\left(d\xi\right)}{\lambda_0 - \xi^2} \leq 1 \Rightarrow \int_{-\sqrt{\lambda_0}}^{\sqrt{\lambda_0}} \frac{\mu\left(d\xi\right)}{1 - \xi^2} < 1,$$

which implies $W_\mu \in Gr_\Sigma^2$. Since $W_{\sigma_{t_n}} \to W_\mu$, without difficulty we have

$$\widehat{U}_{e^{-t_n h}W}\left(z, g\right) = \widehat{U}_{W_{\sigma_{t_n}}}\left(z, g\right) \to \widehat{U}_{W_\mu}\left(z, g\right),$$

which together with (86) yields $\delta < 1$. ■

Lemma 23 *Assume the measure* σ *has its support in* $(-1, 1)$ *and let* K *be a compact subset of* $\mathbb{C}_+$. *Then*

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \gamma\left(\widehat{U}_W\left(z, e^{th}\right) w_1, \widehat{U}_W\left(z, e^{th}\right) w_2\right) = 0,$$

uniformly in $z \in K$, $w_1, w_2 \in \overline{\mathbb{C}_+}$.

Proof. Note first the set

$$K_1 = \left\{\widehat{U}_W\left(z, e^h\right) w; \quad z \in K,\ w \in \overline{\mathbb{C}_+}\right\}$$

defines a compact set of $\mathbb{C}_+$. Set

$$g = e^h, \ \ W_1 = e^{-h}W.$$

Observing the identity

$$\widehat{U}_W\left(z, e^{th}\right) w = \widehat{U}_{W_1}\left(z, e^{(t-1)h}\right) \widehat{U}_W\left(z, g\right) w,$$

we have only to show

$$\lim_{x\to\infty} \gamma\left(\widehat{U}_{W_1}\left(z, e^{th}\right) w_1, \widehat{U}_{W_1}\left(z, e^{th}\right) w_2\right) = 0, \tag{87}$$

uniformly in $z \in K$, $w_1, w_2 \in K_1$. From Lemma 22 we have

$$\delta = \sup_{z\in K,\ t\geq 0} \rho\left(\widehat{U}_{e^{-th}W}\left(z, g\right)\right) < 1.$$

Since, for $n \leq t \leq n + 1$

$$\widehat{U}_{W_1}\left(z, e^{th}\right) = \widehat{U}_{e^{-nh}W_1}\left(z, e^{(t-n)h}\right) \widehat{U}_{e^{-(n-1)h}W_1}\left(z, g\right) \cdots \widehat{U}_{W_1}\left(z, g\right),$$

(76) implies

$$\rho\left(\widehat{U}_{e^{-ah}W}\left(z, e^{th}\right)\right) \leq \delta^n$$

which shows (87). ■

Our main theorem is

Theorem 4 *Let* $q \in \Omega_\Sigma$, $h \in \mathcal{H}$ *and* $\widetilde{q} = \lim_{n\to\infty} K\left(e^{t_n h}\right) q$ *for a sequence* $\{t_n\}$ *tending to* $+\infty$. *For the representing measure* σ *assume the following.*

$$\begin{cases} \sigma \textit{ is absolutely continuous on } A \in \mathcal{B}\left([-1,0]\right) \quad \textit{if} \;\; h \in \mathcal{H}_W^+ \\ \sigma \textit{ is absolutely continuous on } A \in \mathcal{B}\left([0,1]\right) \quad \textit{if} \;\; h \in \mathcal{H}_W^- \end{cases}.$$

Then, it holds that $\widetilde{q} \in \mathcal{R}\left(A\right)$, *or equivalently*

$$m_+\left(\xi + i0, \widetilde{q}\right) = -\overline{m_-\left(\xi + i0, \widetilde{q}\right)} \qquad \textit{for a.e. } \xi \in -qq\sqrt{|A|}, \tag{88}$$

where

$$[0,1] \supset \sqrt{|A|} = \begin{cases} \sqrt{-A} & \textit{if} \;\; A \subset [-1,0] \\ \sqrt{A} & \textit{if} \;\; A \subset [0,1] \end{cases}.$$

Proof. Note that $-M_W$ is of Herglotz for any $W \in Gr_\Sigma^2$. All the necessary things are already prepared and all we have to do is to follow Breimesser-Pearson and Remling. We may consider only the case when $A \subset [-1,0]$. Remling [14] noted that the property (88) is equivalent to

$$\omega_{-M_W(\xi+i0)}\left(S\right) = \omega_{-M_{RW}(\xi+i0)}\left(-S\right) \quad \text{for a.e. } \xi \in -A \tag{89}$$

for any $S \in \mathcal{B}\left(\mathbb{R}\right)$. We decompose A as follows.

$$A = A_0 \cup A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \cdots \cup A_N \quad \text{ disjoint}$$

There exists $m_j \in \mathbb{C}_+$ such that for $j = 1, 2, \cdots, N$

$$\gamma\left(-M_{RW}\left(\xi\right), m_j\right) \le \epsilon \;\text{ for } \forall \xi \in -A_j, \;\text{ and }\; |A_0| \le \epsilon \tag{90}$$

We write $f\left(\xi\right) = f\left(\xi + i0\right)$ for simplicity. Since $\widehat{U}_{RW}\left(\xi, e^{-t_n h}\right) \in SL\left(2, \mathbb{R}\right)$ for $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\gamma\left(\widehat{U}_{RW}\left(\xi, e^{-t_n h}\right) \cdot \left(-M_{RW}\left(\xi\right)\right), \widehat{U}_{RW}\left(\xi, e^{-t_n h}\right) \cdot m_j\right) = \gamma\left(-M_{RW}\left(\xi\right), m_j\right) \le \epsilon.$$

Applying the inequality

$$\left|\omega_z\left(S\right) - \omega_w\left(S\right)\right| \le \gamma\left(z, w\right) \qquad \text{for any } z, w \in \mathbb{C}_+, \tag{91}$$

we see

$$\left| \int_{-A_j} \omega_{\widehat{U}_{RW}\left(\xi, e^{-t_n h}\right) \cdot \left(-M_{RW}\left(\xi\right)\right)}\left(S\right) d\xi - \int_{-A_j} \omega_{\widehat{U}_{RW}\left(\xi, e^{-t_n h}\right) \cdot m_j}\left(S\right) d\xi \right| \le \epsilon \left|A_j\right|,$$

which leads us to

$$\left| \int_{-A_j} \omega_{\widehat{U}_{RW}\left(\xi, e^{-t_n h}\right) \cdot \left(-M_{RW}\left(\xi\right)\right)}\left(S\right) d\xi - \int_{-A_j} \omega_{-\overline{\widehat{U}_{RW}\left(\xi, e^{-t_n h}\right) \cdot m_j}}\left(-S\right) d\xi \right| \le \epsilon \left|A_j\right|.$$

Then, (83) shows

$$\left| \int_{-A_j} \omega_{\widehat{U}_{RW}\left(\xi, e^{-t_n h}\right) \cdot \left(-M_{RW}\left(\xi\right)\right)}\left(S\right) d\xi - \int_{-A_j} \omega_{\widehat{U}_{W}\left(\xi, e^{t_n h}\right) \cdot \left(-\overline{m_j}\right)}\left(-S\right) d\xi \right| \le \epsilon \left|A_j\right|$$

On the other hand, Lemma 21 implies that there exists $y > 0$ such that for any $m \in \mathcal{M}$

$$\left| \int_{-A_j} \omega_{m(\xi+iy)} (-S)\, d\xi - \int_{-A_j} \omega_{m(\xi)} (-S)\, d\xi \right| \leq \epsilon \left| A_j \right|$$

holds. Assuming the measure σ has its support in $(-1, 1)$, Lemma 23 shows

$$\gamma \left(\widehat{U}_W \left(\xi + iy, e^{t_n h} \right) \cdot \left(-M_W \left(\xi + iy \right) \right), \widehat{U}_W \left(\xi + iy, e^{t_n h} \right) \cdot \left(-\overline{m_j} \right) \right) \leq \epsilon,$$

for every sufficiently large n. Hence, owing to (91)

$$\left| \int_{-A_j} \omega_{\widehat{U}_W (\xi+iy, e^{t_n h}) \cdot (-M_W(\xi+iy))} (-S)\, d\xi - \int_{-A_j} \omega_{\widehat{U}_W (\xi+iy, e^{t_n h}) \cdot (-\overline{m_j})} (-S)\, d\xi \right|$$
$$\leq \epsilon \left| A_j \right|$$

is valid. Summing up the inequalities, we have

$$\left| \int_{-A_j} \omega_{\widehat{U}_W (\xi, e^{t_n h}) \cdot (-M_W(\xi))} (-S)\, d\xi - \int_{-A_j} \omega_{\widehat{U}_W (\xi, e^{t_n h}) \cdot (-\overline{m_j})} (-S)\, d\xi \right| \leq 3\epsilon \left| A_j \right|.$$

Hence, Breimesser-Pearson's result in this case is obtained, namely

$$\left| \int_{-A} \omega_{\widehat{U}_W (\xi, e^{t_n h}) \cdot (-M_W(\xi))} (-S)\, d\xi - \int_{-A} \omega_{\widehat{U}_{RW} (\xi, e^{-t_n h}) \cdot (-M_{RW}(\xi))} (S)\, d\xi \right|$$
$$\leq 4\epsilon \left| A \right| + \epsilon,$$

for every sufficiently large n. Since $M_W(z)$ depends only on q_W and is continuous with respect to q_W

$$\begin{cases} \widehat{U}_W \left(z, e^{t_n h} \right) \cdot \left(-M_W (z) \right) = -M_{e^{-t_n h} W} (z) \to -M_{\widetilde{q}} (z) \\ \widehat{U}_{RW} \left(z, e^{-t_n h} \right) \cdot \left(-M_{RW} (z) \right) = -M_{e^{t_n h} RW} (z) = -M_{R(e^{-t_n h} W)} (z) \\ \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \to -M_{R\widetilde{q}} (z) \end{cases}$$

holds. Consequently

$$\int_{-A} \omega_{-M_{\widetilde{q}}(\xi+i0)} (-S)\, d\xi = \int_{-A} \omega_{-M_{R\widetilde{q}}(\xi+i0)} (S)\, d\xi$$

is valid, and we have (89). If the measure σ has not its support in $(-1, 1)$, then we have only to consider the present framework in $H = L^2 (|z| = R)$ with $R > 1$. ■

Remark 4 *It should be remarked that for* $u(t, x) = \left(K \left(e^{th} \right) q \right) (x)$, *it holds that*

$$u(-t, -x) = \left(K \left(e^{th} \right) Rq \right) (x),$$

hence $\widetilde{u}(t, x) = u(-t, -x)$ *satisfies the same equation as* $u(t, x)$ *with initial function* $\widetilde{u}(0, x) = (Rq)(x) = q(-x)$.

Remark 5 *In the theorem we have assumed* $q \in \Omega_\Sigma$ *instead of* $q \in \widetilde{\Omega}_1$ *to avoid the singularity of* $\Delta_W(z)^{-1}$ *at* $z = 1$. *However, it is possible to neglect this nuisance if we replace* $L^2 (|z| = 1)$ *by* $L^2 (|z| = R)$ *with* $R > 1$ *and reconstruct the flow* $K(g)$ *on a larger space.*

Remark 6 *In Kotani [6] it was noted that for* $q \in \Omega_\Sigma$ *the associated measure* σ *is obtained by the representing measures* $\sigma_\pm$ *of the Weyl-Titchmarsh functions* $m_\pm(\lambda, q)$ *as*

$$\sigma(d\zeta) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{\sqrt{-\xi}}\sigma_+(d\xi), & \text{if } \zeta = \sqrt{-\xi} > 0 \\ \dfrac{1}{\sqrt{-\xi}}\sigma_-(d\xi), & \text{if } \zeta = -\sqrt{-\xi} < 0 \end{cases}.$$

Therefore in Theorem 4 the condition on σ *can be stated by similar conditions on* $\sigma_\pm$.

Remark 7 *It is hopeful that a similar theorem is possible to obtain for discrete Schrödinger operators, Dirac operators, which would yield asymptotic properties for the Toda flow and the non-linear Schrödinger equations.*

We conclude the whole argument by giving examples to which the theorem can be applied. Suppose $y = \operatorname{Im} z > 0$. Then, Example 1 says that for $h = z$

$$A_W(z,h) - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ a_1'(0) & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} z + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -c_0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

hence

$$\operatorname{Im} JL^{-1} A_{e^{-th}W}(z,z) L = y \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \geq 0.$$

The second property (ii) of $\mathcal{H}_W^\pm$ is certified without difficulty.

For $h = z^3$ Example 2 shows

$$\begin{aligned} & A_W(z,h) - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ a_1'(0) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ & = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} z^2 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -c_0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} z + \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & c_0 \\ c_2 & -c_1 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\operatorname{Im} JL^{-1} A_{e^{-th}W}(z,-h) L = y \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & c_0(t) - 2x \end{pmatrix}, \tag{92}$$

which is strictly positive definite for $x < 0$. Therefore, $h(z) = z \in \mathcal{H}_W^\pm$ and $h(z) = -z^3 \in \mathcal{H}_W^-$ for any $W \in Gr_\Sigma^2$ or equivalently for any $q \in \Omega_\Sigma$. Consequently, for the KdV equation we have the statement in the introduction.

Remark 8 *We have applied our theorem to the KdV equation (7) to obtain asymptotic properties of the solutions. The restriction on the initial function* $q \in \Omega_\Sigma$ *is so strong that it should be holomorphic on a strip containing the real line. It is desirable that we have the theorem for more general initial functions. If* $h(z) = z$, *then* $K(e^{th})\, q(\cdot) = q(\cdot - t)$, *hence Theorem 4 says that, if one of* $H_q^\pm$ *has absolutely continuous component* A *in their spectra in* $(-\infty, 0]$, *then any right limit point* $\widetilde{q}$ *of* $\{q(\cdot + t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ *is reflectionless on* A. *This statement is stronger than that of the original Remling's theorem. The reason comes from the fact that the compactness of the space* $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\lambda_0}$ *due to (3) or (5) makes the convergence of* $q(\cdot + t_n)$ *on* $\mathbb{R}$ *from that of* $q(\cdot + t_n)$ *on* $[0, \infty)$ *possible.*

Remark 9 (92) *implies that for* $t \geq 0$, $\operatorname{Im} JL^{-1}A_{e^{-th}W}\left(z,-h\right)L$ *is positive definite for* $z \in \mathbb{C}$ *satisfying* $\operatorname{Re} z < c$ *with*

$$c = \frac{1}{2}\liminf_{t\to\infty} c_0\left(t\right) = \frac{1}{2}\liminf_{t\to\infty}\int_{-1}^{1}\sigma_t\left(d\xi\right).$$

Since $c \in (0,1/2)$, *if* $acsp\bigl(H_q^-\bigr)$ *has an absolutely continuous component* A *in* $[-2\sqrt{c},0]$ $\bigl($*note* $h(z) = 4z^3\bigr)$, *then we can show that for the KdV equation*

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = 6u\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x^3}, \qquad u\left(0,x\right) = q(x),$$

any limit point $\widetilde{q} = \lim_{n\to\infty} u\left(t_n,\cdot\right)$ *for a sequence* $\{t_n\}$ *tending to* $-\infty$ *satisfies* $\widetilde{q} \in \mathcal{R}\left(A\right)$.

References

[1] S.V. Breimesser, D.B. Pearson: Asymptotic value distribution for solutions of the Schrödinger equation, Math. Phys. Anal. Geom. 3 (2000), 385 - 403.

[2] S.V. Breimesser, D.B. Pearson: Geometrical aspects of spectral theory and value distribution for Herglotz functions, Math. Phys. Anal. Geom. 6 (2003), 29 - 57.

[3] E. Date, M. Jimbo, M. Kashiwara, T. Miwa: Transformation groups for soliton equations, I. Proc. Japan Acad. 57A (1981), 342-347; II. Ibib., 387-392; III. J. Phys. Soc. Japan 50 (1981), 3806-3812; IV. Physica 4D (1982), 343-365; V. Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. 18 (1982), 1111-1119; VI. J. Phys. Soc. Japan 50 (1981), 3813-3818; VII. Publ. RIMS. Kyoto Univ. 18 (1982), 1077-1110.

[4] R.A. Johnson: On the Sato-Segal-Wilson solutions of the K-dV equation, Pacific Jour. of Math., 132 (1988), 343 - 355.

[5] S. Kotani: Ljapounov indices determine absolutely continuous spectra of stationary random Schrödinger operators, Stochastic Analysis (Katata/Kyoto, 1982), 225 - 247, North-Holland Math. Library, 32, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984.

[6] S. Kotani: KdV flow on Generalized Reflectionless Potentials, J. of Math. Phys. Anal. Geom. 4 (2008), 490 - 528.

[7] D.S. Lundina: Compactness of the set of reflectionless potentials, Teor. Funktsii Funktsional Anal. i Prilozhen, 44 (1985), 55 - 66.

[8] V.A. Marchenko: The Cauchy problem for the KdV equation with non-decreasing initial data, Springer Series in Nonlinear Dynamics, What is Integrability? ed. by V.E. Zakharov (1990), 273 - 318.

[9] H.P. McKean: Geometry of KDV (5): Scattering from the Grassmannian viewpoint, Comm. Pure and Appl. Math. 42 (1989), 687 - 701.

[10] D.B. Pearson: Value distribution and spectral analysis of differential operators, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 26 (1993), 4067 - 4080.

[11] D.B. Pearson: Value distribution and spectral theory, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 68 (1994), 127 - 144.

[12] M. Sato: Soliton Equations as Dynamical Systems on an Infinite Dimensional Grassmann Manifolds, Suriken Koukyuroku 439 (1981), 30 - 46. (http://www.kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp/~kyodo/kokyuroku/contents/pdf/0439-05.pdf)

[13] C. Remling: The absolutely continuous spectrum of one-dimensional Schrödinger operators, Math. Phys. Anal. Geom. 10 (2007), 359 - 373.

[14] C. Remling: The absolutely continuous spectrum of Jacobi matrices, Annals of Math. 174 (2011), 125 - 171.

[15] G. Segal - G. Wilson: Loop groups and equations of KdV type, Publ. IHES, 61 (1985), 5 - 65.

[16] M. Sodin - P. Yuditskii: Almost periodic Sturm-Liouville operators with Cantor homogeneous spectrum, Comment Math. Helvetici, 70 (1995), 639 - 658.